



# भारत का राजपत्र

## The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 25]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 19, 1997/आषाढ़ 28, 1919

No. 25]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 19, 1997/ASADHA 28, 1919

इस मांग में चिह्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके।

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (iii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों (संघ राज्य भेद प्रशासनों को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किये गये आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं  
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union  
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 2 जुलाई, 1997

आ. अ. 134.—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि श्री अवधेश मिह सोलंकी, श्राम भागीय, डाकघर-सहजनन्दा, जिला गोरखपुर (उत्तर प्रदेश) एक अध्यर्थी को, जिन्होंने मई, 1996 में हाँ लोक सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए 51—चन्दौली संसदीय निर्वाचन घोट से चुनाव लड़ा था, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग द्वारा लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 की धारा 10-के अधीन इसके तारीख 7 अप्रैल, 1997 के आदेश संख्या 76/उ. प्र.—लो. स./96 द्वारा उक्त अधिनियम और तथ्यानु बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अवैधित रैति से निर्वाचन आयोग को लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहने के कारण निरहित कर दिया था;

और यतः, तारीख 14-5-97 के अस्यावेदन पर विचार करने और मामले के सभी सार्वजन नव्वों पर ध्यान देने के बाद, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 की धारा 11 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, निर्वाचन आयोग ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अधीन आयोग के तारीख 7 अप्रैल, 1997 के आदेश द्वारा श्री अवधेश मिह सोलंकी पर लगाई गई निरहित को तत्काल प्रभाव से हटा दिया है;

<sup>1</sup> यतः अब, उक्त श्री अवधेश मिह सोलंकी का नाम आयोग के तारीख 7 अप्रैल, 1997 के आदेश म. 76/उ. प्र.—लो. स./96 से हटा दिया गया समझा जाएगा।

[संख्या 76/उ. प्र.—लो. स./96]

आदेश से,

एल. एच. फार्लकी, सचिव

**ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA  
ORDER**

New Delhi, the 2nd July, 1997

O.N. 134.—Whereas, Shri Avdesh Singh Solanki, Village Bhagoura, P.O. Sahjanawa, District Gorakhpur (U.P.) a contesting candidate for the General Election to Lok Sabha from 51-Chandauli Constituency held in May, 1996 was disqualified by the Election Commission of India vide its Order No. 76/UP-HP/96 dated 7th April, 1997 under Section 10A of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, for failure to lodge the account of his election expenses in the manner as required by the said Act and Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, after consideration of the representation dated 14-5-97 and taking into account all material facts of the case,

the Election Commission, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 11 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, has removed the disqualification of Shri Avdesh Singh Solanki imposed upon him by the Commission's Order dated 7th April, 1997 under Section 10-A of the said Act, with immediate effect.

Now, therefore, the name of the said Shri Avdesh Singh Solanki, shall be deemed to have been omitted from the Commission's Order No. 76/UP-HP/96 dated 7th April, 1996.

[No. 76/UP-HP/96]

By Order,  
L. H. FARUQI, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

प्रा. अ. 135.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की मारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से निर्वाचन के लिए जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अध्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त मारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा; और

और उक्त अध्यर्थियों ने भयंक्रम सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और इस प्रकार निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायीकात्य ही नहीं हैं;

अतः अब निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की मारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य-क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए आदेश की तारीख से 3 वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

मारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का दिनांक	निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र की क्र. सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अध्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरर्हित का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	लोक सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन, 1996	13—छिंगारी सं. निर्वाचन- क्षेत्र	श्री फते चन्द्र गारोड़िया, चेम्बर रोड, तिनसुकिया टाउन, तिनसुकिया, असम	विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित गति से लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
2.	असम विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1996	8—ग्रामपुर बि. स.	श्री मुसाबिर अली, गाँव गंगापार धुमकर, पी. टी.-III, पो. गंगापार धुमकर, जिला हैलकाण्डी, असम	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
3.	—वही—	34—अभयपुरी उत्तर	श्री मोतियर रहमान, गाँव चैलाबारी, पी. टी.-1, पो. मदरसापारा, जिला-बोगांड्हगाँव असम	—वही—
4.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री रफीकुल इस्लाम, गाँव भण्डारा नं. 2, पो. अण्डाग बाजार, जिला-बागांड्हगाँव, असम	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
5.	असम विधान सभा के लिए माध्यारण निर्बन्धन, 1996	34—अभयपुरी उत्तर	श्री स्वप्न कुमार मुकर्जी, गांव नं. 1 बोरछोला, पो. श्रीजगनग्राम जिला बोंगाई- गांव, असम	निर्वाचन न्यूनों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
6.	—वही—	55—हाजो	श्री कामाल्या चरण चौधरी, गांधी बस्ती नूतन सरानिया, पो. गुवाहाटी—3, जिला कामरूप, असम	—वही—
7.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री नगेन पाठक, आंचल जपारीगोग, जू., रोड पो. जिता नगर, गुवाहाटी—5 जिला—कामरूप, असम	—वही—
8.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री प्रफुल्ल शर्मा, गांव हासोगांव, पो. बामुन्डी, थाना हाजो, जिला कामरूप, असम।	—वही—
9.	—वही—	122—तिनसुकिया	मुश्की निर्मला सिंह, डा. नबीउल्लाह रोड, वाड नं. 9, पो. व जिला तिनसुकिया, असम	विधि द्वारा अवैधित गीति में लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।

[म. 76/असम/96]  
आदेश से,  
के. जे. राव, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 135.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidate specified in column (4) of the Table below at the election specified in column (2), held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and Rules and Orders made thereunder as shown in column (5) of the said Table; and

Whereas, the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in Column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order:—

## TABLE

Sl. No.	Particulars of elections	No. & Name of Constituency	Name & Address of contesting candidates	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
1.	General Election to Lok Sabha, 1996	13-Dibrugarh Parliamentary Constituency	Sh. Phate Chand Garodia, Chamber Road, Tinsukia Town, Tinsukia, Assam	Failed to lodge any account in the manner required by law
2.	General Election to Legislative Assembly of Assam, 1996.	8-Algapur Assembly Constituency	Sh. Mussabir Ali, Vill. Gangpar Dhumkar Pt-III, PO-Gangpar Dhumkar, Dist-Hailakandi, Assam.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses
3.	-do-	34-Abhayapuri North Assembly Constituency	Sh. Motier Rahman, Vill. Chailabari Pt. I, PO-Madrassapara, Dist.-Bongaigaon, Assam.	-do-
4.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Rafiqul Islam, Vill. Bhandara No. 2, P.O. Bhandara Bazar Dist.-Bongaigaon, Assam.	-do-
5.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Swapan Kr. Mukherjee, Vill. No. 1 Borghola, PO-Srijngram Distl.-Bongaigaon, Assam.	-do-
6.	-do-	55-Hajo Assembly Constituency	Sh. Kamakhya Charan Choudhury, Gandhi Basti, Natun Serania, PO-Guwahati-3, Dist-Kamrup, Assam.	-do-
7.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Nagen Pathak, Anchal Japarigog, Zoo Road, PO-Jita Nagar, Guwahati-5, Dist-Kamrup, Assam.	-do-
8.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Prafulla Sarma, Vill. Halogaon, PO-Bamundi, PS-Hajo, Dist-Kamrup, Assam.	-do-
9.	-do-	122-Tinsukia Assembly Constituency	Ms. Nirmala Singh, Dr. Nabiullah Road, Ward No. 9, PO & Dist-Tinsukia, Assam.	Failed to lodge the account in the manner required by law.

[No. 76/AS/96]

By Order,  
K. J. RAO, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

श्रा. आ. 136.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि श्री गुलाम मेहदी, पो. महेन्द्रगंज पश्चिम खासी हिल्स, मेघालय, के एक अध्यर्थी जिन्होंने फरवरी, 1997 में दृष्टि विधान सभा के उप निर्वाचन के लिये 60—महेन्द्रगंज विधान सभा निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र में निर्वाचन लड़ा था, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा उसके अधीन बनाये गये नियमों के अधीन अपने निर्वाचन-ब्यांगों का कोई भी नेतृत्व दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और यतः श्री गुलाम मेहदी ने मध्यकृत सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई कारण या स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त असफलता के लिये उनके पास कोई पर्याप्त कारण या व्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः प्रब्र, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग इसके द्वारा यह घोषणा करता है कि उक्त श्री गुलाम मेहदी को संमद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य अंतर्की विधान सभा या विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये निर्हित घोषित करता है।

[स. 76 मध्या./97]

आदेश से,  
के.जे. राव, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 136.—Whereas, the Election Commission is satisfied that :—

Shri Golam Mehidi,  
Tungruchur,  
P.O. Mahendraganj,  
West Khasi Hills,  
Meghalaya.

a contesting candidate for the bye-election to the Meghalaya Legislative Assembly held in February, 1997, from 60-Mahendraganj Assembly constituency has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and Rules and Orders made thereunder ; and

Whercas, Shri Golam Mehidi has not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus, satisfied that he has no good reason or any justification for the said failure ;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Golam Mehidi, to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. 76|MEG|97]

By order,  
K. J. RAO, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 8 जुलाई, 1997

श्रा. आ. 137.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में, निर्वाचन आयोग 1996 की अर्जी संख्या 1 में शिमला स्थित हिमाचल प्रदेश उच्च व्यायालय के तारीख 10 जून, 1997 के निर्णय को एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

(निर्णय अधिसूचना के अंग्रेजी भाग में छपा है)

[स. 82/हि. प्र.—लो. स./1/96]

आदेश से,  
के.जे. राव सचिव

Date of decision June 10, 1997.

Prem Kumar Dhumal Petitioner.

Versus

Major General Bikram Singh Respondent

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice—A. L. VAIDYA, J.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice

Whether approved for reporting ? Yes.

For the Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s)—M/s. S. P. Jain, R. K.

Sharma, Mrs. Shubh Mahajan, Advocates.

for the Respondent(s)—Mr. M. S. Chandel, Advocate.

New Delhi, the 8th July, 1997

O.N. 137.—In pursuance of Section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes Judgement dated 10th June, 1997 of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh at Shimla in Election Petition No. 1 of 1996.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,  
SHIMLA

Election Petition No. 1 of 1996

Misc. Petition No.  
Writ Petition No.

Appeal No.  
Revision Petition No.  
Civil Suit No.

PER A. L. VAIDYA, J.—The elections for 4-Hamirpur Parliamentary Constituency were held in April 1996 and the polling took place on 27th April, 1996. The petitioner unsuccessfully contesting this election as a candidate of Bhartiya Janta Party while the respondent was the successful candidate who contested as a nominee of the Congress Party.

2. Through the present petition preferred under Sections 80/100 read with Section 123 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (hereinafter to be called as "the Act"), the petitioner has prayed that the election of respondent Major General Bikram Singh (Retd.) from Hamirpur Parliamentary Constituency may be declared as null and void and it has further been prayed that the respondent be disqualified from contesting any election for a period of six years, as per law. The said prayer has been based on the sole ground that the respondent was guilty of corrupt practices as defined under Section 123 of the Act. The details of corrupt practices practised by the respondent may not be necessary to be pointed out at this stage which otherwise would be taken note of later on.

3. This petition has been seriously contested on behalf of the respondent and the averments made regarding the alleged corrupt practices practised by the respondent have been denied.

4. On the pleadings of the parties various Issues were framed which were so claimed by the learned counsel for the parties:

5. Issue Nos. 5 and 6, being preliminary Issues, have been taken up at the first instance, to be disposed of, which run as under :

"5. Whether the affidavit filed by the petitioner is wrong, illegal and not in accordance with law, as alleged? If so, the petition is liable to be rejected? OPR

6. Whether the petition does not disclose any enforceable cause of action, as alleged? OPR."

6. Learned counsel for the parties have been heard and the relevant pleadings, coupled with the record, have been considered.

7. The findings on these two preliminary Issues are as follows :

#### ISSUE NO. 5

8. This Issue has not been pressed on behalf of the respondent and, accordingly, the same is decided in the negative.

#### ISSUE NO. 6

9. This is the most vital Issue which in detail, has been argued on behalf of the parties.

10. There is no dispute to the proposition that in order to dispose of this Issue on the basis of the submissions put forth by the learned counsel, only the allegations made in the petition have to be looked into and those relevant pleadings can safely be detailed at this stage. For the sake of convenience paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are being reproduced hereunder :

"7. That the elections of the respondent, Major General Bikram Singh (Retd.) is liable to be set aside and declared as void and illegal on the ground that he is guilty of corrupt practice under Section 123 of the Representation of People Act, 1951 (hereinafter to be called as the Act).

8. That the maximum limit of election expenditure, as prescribed for the elections of the Lok Sabha in Himachal Pradesh, is Rs. 4,15,000 for each Parliamentary Constituency. However, the respondent

has spent much more than the maximum limit as authorised and prescribed in the elections. The respondent in his return of election expenditure has shown the total expenses incurred by him in the election as Rs. 3,28,246. An attested copy of the said return, along with the copy of the affidavit sworn and filed by the respondent in support of the return are enclosed herewith as Annexures P1 and P2. The respondent has not submitted the true and correct return of his election expenditure and he has spent more amount than the maximum authorised limit.

9. That Shri Virbhadr Singh, the Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh, with the consent of the respondent used the Helicopter for campaigning, canvassing and electioneering work for securing votes for the respondent, in the constituency, with a view to win the election. In the return Annexure P1, the total expenditure of Helicopter used on 2nd April, 1996 is shown as Rs. 32,292.00. In the return, it has been mentioned that this expenditure is shown on the basis of voucher No. 45, but voucher No. 45 shows that an amount of Rs. 39,292.00 was to be credited in the account of the respondent as expenditure incurred towards the use of Helicopter for Lok Sabha elections in 1996. A copy of voucher No. 45 is enclosed herewith as Annexure P3.

However, it will be pertinent to mention here that on 2nd April, 1996, the Helicopter took flight from Sarahan (District Shimla) Himachal Pradesh at 8.40 a.m. and it reached Hamirpur at 9.40 a.m. The charges of Helicopter for one hour flight from Sarahan to Hamirpur were paid as Rs. 90,000, according to the prescribed rates. This one hour flight from Sarahan to Hamirpur was continuous and with the sole object to canvass and campaign for the election of the respondent in the Constituency.

The Helicopter was made to halt at Hamirpur from 9.40 a.m. to 3.30 p.m. for which halt charges were charged amounting to Rs. 1,65,399. At 3.30 p.m. Shri Virbhadr Singh, in the said Helicopter, left for Dehra and then for Jawalamukhi, which flight took half an hour and the charges of Rs. 45,000 were paid for the same. At Dehra, the Helicopter remained halted for which an amount of Rs. 55,000 was paid. As such, during the election campaign in 4-Hamirpur Parliamentary Constituency, the respondent has spent a sum of Rs. 3,55,000 towards charges for hiring of Helicopter, while in the return only an amount of Rs. 32,000 has been shown by the respondent. The Helicopter, which is normally used by the State Government, has been taken by it on lease from M/s. Masco Airlines, New Delhi, for a period of two years from 25-5-1995. The rate of the flight of the Helicopter has been agreed at Rs. 90,000 per hour. The information about the lease of the Helicopter and its rates/charges is being given on the basis of the reply tabled on the floor of the H.P. Vidhan Sabha in answer to starred question No. 2371 on 11-1-1996 by the Chief Minister. Copies of the question and its answer are enclosed herewith as Annexures P4 and P5 respectively.

As such, the respondent is guilty of filing and submitting an incorrect statement of expenditure. He is further guilty of swearing a false affidavit, which he has enclosed with his expenditure return.

In view of the position explained above, in this para, the respondent has incurred an expenditure in contravention of Section 77 of the Act and thus he is guilty of corrupt practice, as mentioned in sub section (6) of Section 123 of the Act.

The petitioner had also addressed a complaint to the Financial Observer, Election Commission, 4 Parliamentary Hamirpur Constituency, on 7th May, 1996, copy of which is enclosed herewith as Annexure P6, along with its typed copy as Annexure P6/A.

10. That during the election, the respondent has got published advertisements in the various newspapers. He has also got published the tour programme of Shri Virbhadra Singh, the Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh, in the various newspapers for his canvassing, electioneering work in the Constituency, with a view to win the election. In his return, the total expenditure incurred for newspapers advertisements is Rs. 13,770/- which is shown to have been made on 12th April, 1996.

That as a matter of fact, the respondent has spent the following amounts for getting the advertisements published, in connection with his election campaign, in various news papers :

Name of Newspaper	Date	Amount	Share of the respondent
Punjab Kesari (published by Hind Samachar Ltd.), Jullundur	2-4-1996	Rs. 15,300/-	Rs. 7,200/-
	6-4-1996	Rs. 31,875/-	Rs. 31,875/-
	12-4-1996	Rs. 31,875/-	Rs. 13,770/-
	14-4-1996	Rs. 40,920/-	Rs. 10,230/-
	17-4-1996	Rs. 31,875/-	Rs. 7,968/-
	21-4-1996	Rs. 34,875/-	Rs. 34,875/-
	2-4-1996	Total amount paid by HP Congress Committee	Rs. 16,409/-
	15-4-1996	is Rs. 49,238/-	
Ajit Samachar	21-4-1996		
	2-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 3,200/-
	6-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 8,000/-
	12-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 2,000/-
	15-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 2,000/-
	17-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 2,000/-
	21-4-1996	Rs. 8,000/-	Rs. 5,332/-
	12-4-1996	Rs. 5,250/-	Rs. 885/-
	15-4-1996	Rs. 6,160/-	Rs. 1,565/-

The bill (voucher) issued by the Hind Samachar Limited to H.P. Congress Committee, Shimla, regarding the above mentioned newspaper (Punjab Kesari) is enclosed herewith as Annexure P7. The newspaper cuttings of Punjab Kesari, Published by Hind Samachar Limited, Jullundur, for the dates 2nd, 6th, 12th, 14th, 17th and 21st April, 1996, are enclosed herewith as Annexure P8/A to 8/F respectively.

The bill issued by the Indian Express Newspaper, Bombay Put. Ltd., Chandigarh, which publishes Jansatta is enclosed herewith as Annexure P9, along with a copy of letter dated 28-5-1996, which is written by the H.P. Congress Committee, to the Advertisement Manager, Jansatta, is enclosed herewith as Annexure P10. The clippings of newspapers (Jansatta), dated 2nd, 15th, 18th and 21st April, 1996, are enclosed herewith as Annexures P10/A to P10/D.

The Vir Partap, Jullundur, has issued the bills for the aforesaid publications vide bill No. 27005, 27015, 27026, 27048, 27053, 27054 respectively. Clippings of the papers (Vir Partap) are enclosed herewith as Annexures P11/A to P11/F, respectively, for 2nd, 6th, 12th, 15th, 17th and 21st April, 1996.

Bill Nos. 1\*\*C 1492 dated 17-4-1996, is enclosed herewith as Annexure P12, for publication of advertisement in Ajit Samachar. Bill No. 1\*\*C 1562 dated 15-4-1996 is enclosed herewith as Annexure P12/A. Clippings of the papers are enclosed herewith as Annexures P13/A to P13/B, respectively.

11. That the respondent in his return has shown only a sum of Rs. 13,770/- for newspapers advertisements on 12-4-1996, though the total amount incurred by him in this behalf, as detailed in para 10 of the petition is Rs. 1,47,309/-.

In view of the position explained in paras 10 and 11 supra the respondent has incurred the expenditure in contravention of Section 77 of the Act and he is guilty of corrupt practices as mentioned in sub-section (6) of Section 123 of the Act. As he has supported the return of expenditure with an affidavit and the said affidavit has thus been falsely sworn, therefore, the respondent is also guilty of suppressing the material and correct facts and swearing the false affidavit. Therefore, the election of the respondent is liable to be quashed and set aside.

12. That on the date of counting, that is, on 8th May, 1996, the Assistant Returning Officer, had arranged for the lunch, tea and dinner etc. for the counting agents at different counting centres in the Constituency and they charged Rs. 30 for each counting agent as diet money on 8-5-1996 and the total amount paid by him was Rs. 6300 which amount has not been shown by the respondent in his expenditure return.
13. Therefore, the respondent has contravened the provisions of Section 77 of the Act and he is guilty of corrupt practices, as mentioned in sub-section (6) of Section 123 of the Act.

That the return Annexure P1, shows that the respondent has shown his expenditure only from 2-4-1996 to 27th April, 1996, while the results were declared on 9th May, 1996, and under Section 77 of the Act, he is required to keep a separate and correct account of all the expenditure incorrect in connection with the election from the date of his nomination till the date of declaration of the result. As the petitioner has not shown his expenditure from 27th April, 1996, till 9th May, 1996, though he has paid the amount of diet money on 8th May, 1996, as such, he is guilty of contravening the provisions of Section 77 of the Act and he has committed the corrupt practices under sub-section (6) of Section 123 of the Act, therefore, his election is liable to be quashed and set aside.

14. That during the election, the respondent established his office in the building of M/s. Mathra Dass and Sons, known as "Zamindaran Di Hatti", at Hamirpur and there he also got installed the telephone (telephone No. 23909) but in his return of expenditure he has not shown the telephone charges of the aforesaid telephone. The exact amount of the telephone expenses can be calculated on the basis of the difference in the telephone bill during the election and six months prior to that on average basis. Similarly, the telephone expenses relating to the other offices opened by the respondent during election at Bilaspur, Una, Dehra, Ghumarwin have also not been shown by the respondent."

11. Section 100 of the Act deals with the grounds for declaring election to be void. The relevant provisions of Section 100, which would be applicable to the facts of the present case run as under :

"100. Grounds for declaring election to be void—(1) Subject to the provisions of sub-section (2) if the High Court is of opinion—

- (a) .....
- (b) that any corrupt practice has been committed by a returning candidate or his election agent or by any other person with the consent of a returned candidate or his election agent; or
- (c) .....
- (d) the High Court shall declare the election of the returned candidate to be void."

12. Section 83 of the Act, which deals with the contents of the petition runs as under :

"83. Contents of petition.—(1) An election petition.—(a) shall contain a concise statement of the material facts on which the petitioner relies

- (b) shall set forth full particulars of any corrupt practice that the petitioner alleges, including as full a statement as possible of the names of the parties alleged to have committed such corrupt practice and the date and place of the commission of each such practice ; and
- (c) shall be signed by the petitioner and verified in the manner laid down in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908) for the verification of pleadings :—

Provided that where the petitioner alleged any corrupt practice, the petition shall also be accompanied by an affidavit in the prescribed form in support of the allegation of such corrupt practice and the particulars thereof.

- (2) Any schedule or annexure to the petition shall also be signed by the petitioner and verified in the same manner as the petition".

13. Corrupt practices have been defined under Section 123 of the Act and the relevant provision of this Section, applicable to the facts of the present case, is as under :—

"123. Corrupt practices :—The following shall be deemed to be corrupt practices for the purpose of this Act .—

- (1) .....
- (2) .....
- (3) .....
- (4) .....
- (5) .....
- (6) the incurring or authorizing of expenditure in contravention of Section 77".

14. Section 77 of the Act deals with the account of election expenses and maximum thereof, as provided under the law. This Section runs as under :

"77. Account of election expenses and maximum thereof—(1) Every candidate at any election shall, either by himself or by his election agent, keep a separate and correct account of all expenditure in connection with the election incurred or authorized by him or by his election agent between the date on which he has been nominated and the date of declaration of the result thereof, both dates inclusive.

Explanation :

1. Notwithstanding any judgment, order or decision of any court to the contrary, any expenditure incurred or authorized in connection with the election of a candidate by a political party or by any other association or body of persons or by any individual (other than the candidate or his election agent) shall not be deemed to be, and shall not ever be deemed to have been, expenditure in connection with the election incurred or authorized by the candidate or by his election agent nor the purposes of this sub-section :

Provided that nothing contained in the Explanation shall affect :—

(a) any judgment, order or decision of the Supreme Court whereby the election of a candidate to the House of the People or to the Legislative Assembly of a State has been declared void or set aside before the commencement of the Representation of the People (Amendment) Ordinance, 1974 (Ord. 13 of 1974);

(b) .....

Explanation 3.—For the removal of doubt, it is hereby declared that any expenditure incurred in respect of any arrangements made, facilities provided of any other act or thing done by any person in the service of the Government and belonging to any of the classes mentioned in clause (7) of Section 123 in the discharge of his Official duty as mentioned in the proviso to the clause shall not be deemed to be expenditure in connection with the election incurred or authorized by a candidate or by his election agent for the purposes of this sub-section.

- (2) the account shall contain such particulars, as may be prescribed.
- (3) The total of the said expenditure shall not exceed such amount as may be prescribed".

15. Apart from the aforesaid provisions of the Act Section 86 (5) of the Act would also be relevant in order to appreciate the submissions put forth on behalf of the parties which runs as under :

"86(5). The High Court may, upon such terms as to costs and otherwise as it may deem fit, allow particulars of any corrupt practice alleged in the petition to be amended or amplified in such manner as may in its opinion be necessary for ensuring a fair and effective trial of the petition, but shall not allow any amendment of the petition which will have the effect of introducing particulars of a corrupt practice not previously alleged in the petition."

16. In the background of the aforesaid provisions of law it has been very forcefully contended on behalf of the respondent while making submissions under this Issue that the petition at this initial stage is liable to be dismissed inasmuch as it does not disclose any reasonable enforceable cause of action as was the requirement of the Act.

17. It has further been argued that the pleadings regarding the alleged corrupt practices practised by the respondent suffer from pleading material facts as per provisions of the Act.

17. On the other hand learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petition does not suffer for want of material facts and material particulars with respect to the plea of corrupt practice and whatever has been pleaded was legally sufficient proceed further with the trial of the petition inasmuch as prima facie the pleas raised in the petition call for the trial of those pleadings which have been put to issue to be disposed of on merit.

18. The submissions put forth on behalf of the learned counsel for the parties are not so simple a matter as has

been submitted before this Court. In order to appreciate the rival contentions at the first instance the law as provided under the Act and as laid down by various High Courts, including the apex court of the country, has to be appreciated and taken note of in the background of the pleadings of the petitioner inasmuch as at this stage, as pointed out earlier, in order to dispose of this issue only pleas taken by the petitioner in the petition have to be considered and appreciated in a legal manner. Learned counsel for the parties have cited certain precedents which would be very much relevant to dispose of the contentions put forth before this Court. The case law cited is being referred at this juncture.

19. Dhartipakar Madan Lal Agarwal v. Shri Rajiv Gandhi (AIR 1987 SC 1577), deals with the allegations made with respect to plea of corrupt practice. Paragraphs 14 and 20 of this case are relevant which are reproduced as under :—

"The Act is a complete and self-contained Code within which any rights claimed in relation to an election or an election dispute must be found. The provisions of the Civil P.C. are applicable to the extent as permissible by S 87. The Scheme of the Act would show that an election can be questioned under the statute as provided by S. 80 on the grounds as contained in S. 100. Section 83 lays down a mandatory provision in providing that an election petition shall contain a concise statement of material facts and set forth full particulars of corrupt practice. The pleadings are regulated by S. 83 and it makes it obligatory on the election petitioner to give the requisite facts, details and particulars of each corrupt practice with exactitude. If the election petition fails to make out a ground under S. 100 it must fail at the threshold. Allegations of corrupt practice are in the nature of criminal charges, it is necessary that there should be no vagueness in the allegations so that the returned candidate may know the case he has to meet. If the allegations are vague and general and the particulars of corrupt practice are not stated in the pleadings, the trial of the election petition cannot proceed for want of cause of action. The emphasis of law is to avoid a fishing and roving inquiry.

In order to constitute a corrupt practice as contemplated by Ss. 77 and 123 (6) it is necessary to plead requisite facts showing authorisation or undertaking of reimbursement by the candidate or his election agent. A mere vague and general statement that the candidate and his workers with his consent spent money in election in excess of the permissible ceiling would not be sufficient to constitute corrupt practice. After the amendment of S. 77(1) any expenditure at the election by a political party, sympathisers or friends cannot be held to have been incurred by the candidate or his election agent unless it is shown that the money which they spent beyond the candidate or his election agent or that he reimbursed the same. It is thus evident that unless the allegations are specific that the candidate or his election agent authorised the expenses before the money was actually spent and that the candidate or his election agent reimbursed or undertook to reimburse the same the necessary ingredient of corrupt practice would not be complete and it would provide no cause of action to plead corrupt practice."

20. Gaianan Krishnali Bapat and another v. Dattaji Raobaji Meghe and others, (1995) 5 SCC (347) deals with the factum or corrupt practice, alleged to have been practised by incurring or authorising expenditure in excess of prescribed limits. The following observations made by the apex court in the aforesaid case, being relevant to the present case are reproduced hereunder :—

"Under Section 123(6) of the Act, the incurring or authorising of expenditure in contravention of Section 77 of the Act amounts to commission of a corrupt practice. However, every contravention of Section 77 of the Act does not fall within the mischief of Section 123(6). Neither the violation of sub-section (1) nor the violation of sub-section (2) of Section 77 amounts to the commission of the corrupt practice under Section 123(6). However,

Section 77(3) mandates that the prescribed limit and therefore the provisions of Section 123(6) of the Act are related only to Section 77(3) of the Act. If a candidate incurs or authorises expenditure in excess of the prescribed limits, he commits the corrupt practice under Section 123(6) of the Act and his election is liable to be set aside and he also incurs the disqualification of being debarred from contesting the next election. From a plain reading of Sections 123(6) and 77 including Explanation 1 to Section 77 of the Act, it is therefore, clear that in order to be a corrupt practice, the excessive expenditure must be incurred or authorised by the candidate or his election agent. An expenditure incurred by a third person, which is not authorised by the candidate or his election agent is not a corrupt practice. Voluntary expenditure incurred by friends, relations or sympathisers of the candidate of the candidate's political party are not required to be included in the candidate's return of expenses, unless the expenses were incurred in the circumstances from which it could be positively inferred that the successful candidate had undertaken that he would reimburse the party of the person who incurred the expense. A candidate cannot be permitted to place his own funds in the power or possession of a political party or association, or some other persons or individuals for being spent on his behalf and then plead for the protection under Explanation 1 to Section 77 of the Act. Where the election petitioner successfully establishes that the funds were provided by the returned candidate, it would be immaterial as to who actually made the payments, which ought to have been included in the return of election expenses. It is not "whose hand it is that spends the money". The essence of the matter is "whose money it is" that has been spent. In order that Explanation 1 to Section 77 of the Act may apply, therefore, it must be proved that the source of the expenditure incurred was not out of the money of the candidate or his election agent. It is not enough to prove that some advantage accrued to the returned candidate or even that the expenditure was incurred for the benefit of the returned candidate or that it was within the knowledge of the returned candidate and he did not prevent it, to clothe the returned candidate with the liability or committing the alleged corrupt practice. Since during an election, the sponsoring or supporting political parties as well as friends, sympathisers and well-wishers do sometimes incur expenditure not only without the consent of the candidate concerned but even without his knowledge, the successful candidate cannot be clothed with all such expenses to suffer the disqualification.

The legislature by prescribing the inner and the outer limits in Section 77 of the R. P. Act intended the elimination of money influence during the elections and maintaining of purity of elections. The expenditure incurred after the declaration of the result of the election can possibly have no nexus with the purity of the electoral process. The very fact that the advertisements thanked the electorate for electing a candidate would show that the same could only have been issued for publication after the declaration of the candidate as the returned candidate. The expenditure incurred in that connection therefore cannot be said to be an expenditure 'authorised' or 'incurred' during the prohibited dates. Indeed, there may be cases where some expenditure can be incurred or authorised by a returned candidate in connection with his election, even after the declaration of the result, but unless that expenditure can be related to the process of election, authorised or incurred during the prohibitory limits set out in Section 77(1) of the Act, it is not required to be included in the return of expenses. The mere fact that the advertisements appeared in the newspapers of the very next day of declaring the result cannot lead to any presumption that the expenditure in connection therewith had been incurred or authorised by the returned candidate during the prescribed

prohibitory dates in anticipation of his being declared elected.

However, the practice followed by political parties in not maintaining accounts of receipts of the sale of coupons and donations as well as the expenditure incurred in connection with the election of its candidate is not a good practice. It leaves a lot of scope for soiling the purity of election by money influence. Even if the traders and businessmen do not desire their names to be publicised in view of the explanation of the witnesses, nothing prevents the political party and particularly a national party from maintaining its own accounts to show total receipts and expenditure incurred, so that there could be some accountability. The practice being followed as per the evidence introduces the possibility of receipts of money from the candidate himself or his election agent for being spent for furtherance of his election, without getting directly exposed, thereby defeating the real intention behind Explanation 1 to Section 77 of the Act. It is therefore, appropriate for the legislature or the Election Commission to intervene and prescribe by Rules the requirements of maintaining true and correct account of the receipt and expenditure by the political parties by disclosing the sources of receipts as well. Unless this is done, the possibility of purity of elections being soiled by money influence cannot really be ruled out. The political parties must disclose as to how much amount was collected by it and from whom and the manner in which it was spent so that the court is in a position to determine "whose money was actually spent" through the hands of the party. It is equally necessary for an election petitioner to produce better type of evidence to satisfy the court as to "whose money it was" that was being spent through the party. Vague allegations and discrepant evidence may only create a doubt but then the charge of corrupt practice cannot be held to be proved on mere lurking suspicion or doubts."

21. In *Udhav Singh v. Madhav Rao Scindia* (AIR 1976 SC 744) the expression "material facts and particulars" has been examined as under :

"All the primary facts which must be proved at the trial by a party to establish the existence of a cause of action or his defence, are "material facts". In the context of a charge of corrupt practice, "material facts" would mean all the basic facts constituting the ingredients of the particular corrupt practice alleged, which the petitioner is bound to substantiate before he can succeed on that charge. Whether in an election petition, a particular fact is material or not, and as such required to be pleaded is a question which depends on the nature of the charge levelled, the ground relied upon and the special circumstances of the case. In short, all those facts which are essential to clothe the petitioner with a complete cause of action are "material facts" which must be pleaded and failure to plead even a single material fact amounts to disobedience of the mandate of Section 83(1)(a).

"Particulars", on the other hand, are "the details of the case set up by the party", "Material particulars" within the contemplation of Clause (b) of Section 83(1) would therefore mean all the details which are necessary to amplify, refine and embellish the material facts already pleaded in the petition in compliance with the requirements of clause (a). "Particulars" serve the purpose of finishing touches to the basic contours of a picture already drawn, to make it full, more detailed and more informative."

22. The following observations in *Daulat Ram Chauhan v. Anand Sharma* (AIR 1984 SC 621), which is a case from the State of Himachal Pradesh, would also be relevant in order to dispose of the controversy pending before this Court :

"The allegations of corrupt practice must be so clear and specific that the inference of corrupt practice will irresistibly admit of no doubt or qualm. It cannot be left to time, chance or conjecture for the court to draw an inference by adopting an involved

process of reasoning. As a logical consequence it follows that where the allegation of fraudulent practice is open to two equal possible inferences, the pleadings of corrupt practice must fail.

In order to constitute corrupt practices, the necessary particulars, statement of facts and essential ingredients that must be contained in the pleadings are—

- (1) Direct and detailed nature of corrupt practice as defined in the Act.
- (2) Details of every important particular must be stated giving the time, place, names of persons, use of words and expressions, etc.
- (3) It must clearly appear from the allegations that the corrupt practice alleged were indulged in by (a) the candidate himself (b) his authorised election agent or any other person with his express or implied consent.

A person may, due to sympathy or on his own, support the candidature of a particular candidate but unless a close and direct nexus is proved between the act of the person and the consent given to him by the candidate or his election agent, the same would not amount to a pleading of corrupt practice as contemplated by law. Thus where it was alleged in an Election Petition that two candidates to the election has participated in the rally held by the elected candidate and have shouted libellous slogans but there was nothing to show that they were either the election agents or workers of the elected candidate or that they participated or shouted slogans with the express or implied consent of the elected candidate the allegation would not amount to allegation of corrupt practice. That being so for the failure to join these two candidates as parties to the election petition, the petition could not be rejected under S. 82(b) read with S. 86."

23. Mohan Rawal v. Damodar Tatyaba Alias Dadasaheb [(1994) 2 SCC 392] has been cited in order to find support to the proposition that so long as the claim discloses some cause of action or raises some questions fit to be decided by a Judge, the mere fact that the case was weak and not likely to succeed was no ground for striking it out. There is absolutely no dispute to this proposition. However, in the aforesaid case the expression "material facts and full particulars" has also been explained and the relevant paras in this behalf, which may be helpful to the present case are paras 8 to 18, which are reproduced hereunder :

"9. The second contention of Shri Sanghi is that even the allegations in the later paras of the election petition relating to the period after the commencement of the appellant's candidature are vague, bereft of material particulars, frivolous and vexatious and do not disclose a reasonable cause of action. Shri Sanghi submits that Section 86 does not exhaust the grounds of dismissal of an election petition in limine. An election petition, says counsel can and ought to be rejected if grounds contemplated by Rule 11 of Order 7 Civil Procedure Code exist. Shri Sanghi's contention really covers three distinct though overlapping, ideas. It raises the question of absence of material particulars, alleges frivolousness and vexatiousness of the pleadings and lastly, alleges their inability and insufficiency to disclose a reasonable cause of action.

10. We may take up the last facet first. As Chitty, J. observed "There is some difficulty in affixing a precise meaning to" the expression "discloses no reasonable cause of action or defence". He said : "In point of law... every cause of action is a reasonable one". (See Republic of Peru v. Peruvian Guano Co. (1987) 36 Ch. D. 489). A reasonable cause of action is said to mean a cause of action with some chances of success when only the allegations in the pleading are considered. But so long as the claim discloses some cause of action, raises some questions fit to be decided by a Judge, the mere fact the case is weak and not likely to succeed is no ground for striking it out. The implications of the liability of the pleadings to be struck out on the

ground that it discloses no reasonable cause of action are quite often more known than clearly understood. It does not introduce another special demurrer in a new shape. The failure of pleadings to disclose a reasonable cause of action is distinct from the absence of full particulars. The distinctions among the ideas of the "grounds" in Section 81(1); of "material facts" in Section 83(1)(a) and of "full particulars" in Section 83(1)(b) are obvious. The provisions of Sections 83(1)(a) and (b) are in the familiar pattern of Order VI, Rules 2 and 4 and Order 7, Rule 1(c) Code of Civil Procedure. There is a distinction amongst the 'grounds' in Section 81(1); the 'material facts' in Section 83(1)(a) and "full particulars" in Section 83(1)(b).

11. Referring to the importance of pleadings a learned author says :

"Pleadings do not only define the issues between the parties for the final decision of the court at the trial, they manifest and exert their importance throughout the whole process of the litigation. They show on their face whether a reasonable cause of action or defence is disclosed. They provide a guide for the proper mode of trial and particularly for the trial of preliminary issues of law or fact. They demonstrate upon which party the burden of proof lies, and who has the right to open the case. They act as a measure for comparing the evidence of a party with the case which he has pleaded. They determine the range of the admissible evidence which the parties should be prepared to adduce at the trial. They delimit the relief which the court can award..." (See : Jacob, "The Present Importance of Pleadings" (1960) Current Legal Problem, at pp 175-76].

12. Further, the distinction between "material facts" and "full particulars" is one of the degree. The lines of distinction are not sharp. "Material facts" are those which a party relies upon and which, if he does not prove, he fails at the time.

13. In Bruce v. Odhams Press Ltd. (See : Pleadings Vol. 36 para 38) Scott L. J. said : "The word 'material' means necessary for the purpose of formulating a complete cause of action; and if any one 'material' statement is omitted, the statement of claim is bad." The purpose of "material particulars" is in the context of the need to give the opponent sufficient details of the charge set up against him and to give his a reasonable opportunity.

14. Halsbury refers to the function of particulars thus :

"The function of particulars is to carry into operation the overriding principle that the litigation between the parties, and particularly the trial, should be conducted fairly, openly and without surprises, and incidentally to reduce costs. This function has been variously stated, namely, either to limit the generality of the allegations in the pleadings, or to define the issues which have to be tried and for which discovery is required."

15. In Bullen and Leake and Jacob's Precedents of pleadings 1975 Edn. at p. 112 it is stated :

"The function of particulars is to carry into operation the overriding principle that the litigation between the parties, and particularly the trial, should be conducted fairly, openly and without surprises and incidentally to save costs. The object of particulars is to 'open up' the case of the opposite party and to compel him to reveal as much as possible what is going to be proved at the trial, whereas as Cotton L. J. has said, the old system of pleading at common law was to conceal as much as possible what was going to be proved at the trial."

16. The distinction between 'material facts' and 'particulars' which together constitute the facts to be proved or the facts probanda on the one hand and the evidence by which those facts are to be proved—facts probandi on the other must be kept clearly distinguished. In Philipp v. Philipp (1978) 4 QBD 127, 133 Brett, L. J. said :

"I will not say that it is easy to express in words what are the facts which must be stated and what matters need not be stated...The distinction is taken in the very rule itself, between the facts on which the party relies and the evidence to prove those facts. Eric C. J. expressed in this way. He said that there were facts that might be called the allegata probanda, the facts which ought to be proved, and they were different from the evidence which was adduced to prove those facts. And it was upon the expression of opinion of Eric C. J. that Rule 4 now Rule 7(1) was drawn. The facts which ought to be stated are the material facts on which the parties pleading relies."

17. Lord Denman, C. J. in *William v. Wilcox* (1938) 8 Ad & El 331 said :

"It is an elementary rule in pleading that, when a state of facts is relied upon it is enough to allege it simply, without setting out the subordinate facts which are the means of proving it, or the evidence sustaining the allegations."

18. An election petition can be rejected under Order VII Rule 11 (a) CPC if it does not disclose a cause of action. Pleadings could also be struck out under Order VI Rule 16, inter alia, if they are scandalous, frivolous or vexatious. The latter two expressions meant cases where the pleadings are obviously frivolous and vexatious or obviously unsustainable."

24. In *Dr. (Smt.) Shipra v. Shri Shanti Lal* (AIR 1975 Rajasthan 50) it has been held that with respect to corrupt practice the material facts and particulars have been given in para No. 10 and those grounds do not disclose the cause of action and the material facts and particulars have not been stated but his lordship came to the conclusion after perusal of the grounds taken in para 10 that the election petition did disclose some triable issue fit to be decided by the court and it was not a case of failure of the pleading to disclose reasonable cause of action and the election petition filed by the petitioner, therefore, could not be dismissed at the threshold on this ground.

25. In the aforesaid citation (AIR 1995 Raj. 239) (*Mohammad Yusuf and another v. Bhilron Singh Shekhawat*) the expression "material facts" has been explained and also how this expression is different from 'full particulars'. Para 36 in this behalf of the aforesaid judgment is being referred hereunder :

"The material facts mean (a) facts necessary to formulate a complete cause of action, (b) all the preliminary facts which must be proved by the party to establish a cause of action, (c) the basic facts which constitute ingredients of particular corrupt practice, (d) all the facts which are essential to clothe the petitioner with complete cause of action, (e) the facts which if established would give the petitioner the relief asked for, (f) the facts on the basis of which the Court could give a direct verdict in favour of the election petitioner in case the returned candidate did not appear to oppose the petition, (g) facts which if not proved, the petition must fail.

There is a difference between the "material facts" and 'particulars'. The function of particulars is to present as full a picture of a cause of action with such information in details as to make the opposite party understand the case he will have to meet. There may be some overlapping between 'material facts' and 'particulars' but the two are quite distinct. The distinction is one of degree. The material facts are those which the party relies upon and which if it does not prove, he fails.

Mere reproduction of the words of the statute would not be sufficient to maintain a petition. The facts which constitute the corrupt practice have to be set out and they must be correlated to one of the heads of the corrupt practices.

Whether in an election petition a particular fact is material or not and as such required to be pleaded or not depends on charges levelled and the circumstances

of the charges levelled and the circumstances of the case. Thus decision of each case would depend on the facts and circumstances of that case.

An election petition can be summarily dismissed if it does not furnish a cause of action. If the mandatory requirements of Section 83 of the Act are not fulfilled, appropriate order under Order VI, Rule 16 and Under Order VII, Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure can be passed. The whole purpose of conferring such powers is to ensure that a litigation which is meaningless and bound to prove abortive should not be permitted to occupy the time of the court and exercise mind of the respondent. The sword of Damocles need not be kept hanging over his head without point or purpose. Since the Court has the power to act at the threshold the power must be exercised at the threshold itself in case the Court is satisfied that it is a fit case for the exercise of such power and that exercise of such power is warranted under the relevant provisions of law.

An election petition cannot be dismissed in limine for want of particulars without giving an opportunity to the petitioner to supply better particulars."

25. In *Pramila Nesargi (Smt.) v. C. K. Jaffer Sharief and others*, [1994 (Supp.) (3) SCC 170] it has been held that right to elect or to be elected or to challenge an election are neither a fundamental right nor a common law right but a statutory right and as such the law enacted by Parliament governs all such disputes and controversies. It has further been held in the said case that the burden of proof with respect to plea of corrupt practice is on the petitioner and the proceedings are quasi criminal in nature and, therefore, mere reproduction of ground of corrupt practice contained in S. 123 of the Act amounted to vague pleadings. It has further been held that statement of material facts and setting forth of full particulars was essential to meet the requirement of Section 83(1)(a) (b) of the Act.

26. Para 14 of *Neelalochithadasan Nadar v. George Mascrene and others* [1994 Supp. (2) SCC 619], has been referred on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioner which runs as under :

"14. Another argument put forth by Mr. Prashant Bhushan was that the pleadings in the election petition were insufficient to justify inspection inasmuch as except for mentioning that there had been double voting by 19 persons nothing else was stated about the basis on which the election petitioner came to the conclusion that these names, which apparently had appeared twice in the electoral roll, belonged to one and the same person and that those persons had in fact voted twice. It was also commented that no material facts, in the form of affidavits by single persons or polling agents alleging that they had seen and heard about those persons having voted twice was filed in support of the petition. It is maintained that in the absence of evi-

dence of these particulars being pleaded as to the source of knowledge of double voting it was dangerous to allow enquiring into such an allegation on the bare allegation of double registration of votes and possible double voting. We have pondered over this matter but regrettably do not accept the argument of the learned counsel. If a name has been registered twice enabling a person to take the advantage of voting in two different polling stations, Section 62 mandates that if he polls both these votes then both votes are void. A void vote cast is a vote void ab initio. In the nature of things the void taint in the election would have to be traced to the election papers for without the bare oral evidence would be of no use, and at best would be word against word, making application of Section 62(4) well nigh impossible. If the election petition on some information, material or otherwise, is able to entertain the belief that a particular voter, double registered, is known to have voted twice, he can certainly plead to that fact on his own entertained belief and need not ordinarily resort to giving details of the sources of his information or knowledge or the entertainment of his belief because registration of double vote is by itself the starting point, the exercise of both votes being the second. The election petitioner had specifically mentioned and in clear-cut terms that 19 persons had double voted. The question was not resolvable merely on oral evidence, whether they had or had not, except to put those persons into the witness box, hear their version and confront them with the election papers. The sphere of enquiry at that stage is to be voting and not for discovering the name of the person to whom the vote was cast. That inevitably has to be found out after double voting or impersonated voting has been found out leading to the new step to trace them and nullify them. On the pleading of the parties as such, on both sides, a case for inspection at the stage when it was done had been made out. We thus find no error committed in the approach of the High Court."

27. In *Roop Lal Sathi v. Nachhattar Singh* (AIR 1982 SC 1559) paras 19, 20 and 26 have been pointed on behalf of the petitioner which runs as under:

"19. As to the second question, there can be no doubt whatever that the High Court was not justified in directing that the

averments in paragraphs 4 to 18 of the election petition be deleted on the ground that there was non-disclosure of material facts sufficient to give rise to a cause of action under Section 100(1)(d)(iv) of the Act.

20. The order passed by the High Court directing the striking out of paragraphs 4 to 18 of the election petition can hardly be supported. It is not clear from the order that the High Court proceeded to act under Order VII, Rule 11(a) or under Order VI, Rule 16 of the Code in passing the order that it did. It is rightly conceded that the High Court could not have acted under Order VII, Rule 11(a) of the Code. Where the plaint discloses no cause of action, it is obligatory upon the Court to reject the plaint as a whole under Order VII Rule 11(a) of the Code, but the rule does not justify the rejection of any particular portion of a plaint. *Mulla's Civil Procedure Code*, 13th Edn., Vol. 1 p. 755. It is therefore necessary to consider whether the order passed by the High Court justified under Order VI Rule 16 of the Code which reads as follows:

"16. Striking out pleading.—The Court may at any stage of the proceedings order to be struck out or amended any matter in any pleading—

- (a) which may be unnecessary, scandalous, frivolous or vexatious; or
- (b) which may tend to prejudice, embarrass or delay the fair trial of the suit; or
- (c) which is otherwise an abuse of the process of the Court."

26. There is distinction between "material facts" and "particulars". The word "material facts" show that the facts necessary to formulate a complete cause of action must be stated. Omission of a single material fact leads to an incomplete cause of action and the statement of plaint becomes bad. The distinction which has been made between "material facts" and "particulars" was brought out by Scott, L.V. in *Bruce v. Odhams Press Ltd.* (1936) 1 K.B. 697 in the following passage:

"The cardinal provision in Rule 4 is that the statement of claim must state the material facts. The word "material" means necessary for the purpose of formulating a complete cause of action; and if any one "material" fact is omitted, the statement of claim is bad; it is "demurrable" in the old phraseology, and in the new is liable to be struck out" under Order

XXV, Rule 4: *Philipps v. Philipps*, (1878) 4 QSD 127 or "a further and better statement of claim may be ordered under Order XIX, Rule 7."

The function of "particulars" under Rule 6 is quite different. They are not to be used in order to fill material gaps in a demurrable statement of claim—gaps which ought to have been filled by appropriate statements of the various material facts which together constitute the plaintiff's cause of action. The use of particulars is intended to meet a further and quite separate requirement of pleading, imposed in fairness and justice to the defendant. Their function is to fill in the picture of the plaintiff's cause of action with information sufficiently detailed to put the defendant on his guard as to the case he has to meet and to enable him to prepare for trial."

The dictum of Scott, L.J. in Bruce's case, supra, has been quoted with approval by this Court in *Samant N. Balakrishnan v. George Fernandez*, (1969) 3 SCR 603; (AIR 1969 SC 1201), and while observing that the requirements of Section 83 are mandatory, the distinction between material facts and particulars was brought out in the following terms (at page 1212 of AIR):

"The word 'material' shows that the facts necessary to formulate a complete cause of action must be stated. Omission of a single material fact leads to an incomplete cause of action and the statement of claim becomes bad. The function of particulars is to present as full a picture of the cause of action with such further information in detail as to make the opposite party understand the case he will have to meet."

Thus, the word "material" in material facts under Section 83 of the Act means facts necessary for the purpose of formulating a complete cause of action; and if any one "material" fact is omitted, the statement or plaint is bad; it is liable to be struck out. The function of "particulars" is quite different, the use of particulars is intended to meet a further and quite separate requirement of pleading imposed in fairness and justice to the returned candidate. Their function is to fill in the picture of the election petitioner's cause of action with information sufficiently detailed to put the returned candidate on his guard as to the case he has to meet and to enable him to prepare for trial in a case where

his election is challenged on the ground of any corrupt practice."

23. There is no dispute to the proposition that every case of the present nature has to be decided in the context of the pleas raised therein in so far as the issue of reasonable cause of action having been not disclosed by the petitioner is to be considered. The ratio of the aforesaid cited cases could only be made a guiding factor in the circumstances of a particular case especially when those propositions, enunciated in every case, have been so done taking into consideration the facts involved in that case. However the fact remains that in certain cases which may be exceptional one where the petition can be finally disposed of at the initial stage in case it is so warranted by law and the provisions of the Act. It may not be out of place to mention here, as has already been referred in the aforesaid cited precedents that the election petition of the present nature has to be disposed of within the ambit of various provisions of the Act and that too in accordance with law.

29. Needless to say, where the pleadings, as averred in the petition, can disclose a reasonable and enforceable cause of action and that has not suffered from disclosing material facts and the court comes to the conclusion that *prima facie* the pleadings disclose a case to be tried on merit, the petition has to be so disposed of after affording the parties opportunity to support their case by leading evidence. On the other hand in case the court comes to the conclusion that whatever pleas have been taken, more so pertaining to factum of corrupt practice as is the case in the present petition, those pleadings suffer from disclosure of material facts as required, under law, to be alleged & pleaded specifically the petition on that ground can be disallowed at this stage so that the parties, especially the respondent is saved from unnecessary trial on merit.

30. It has to be decided at this stage, whether the pleas raised in the petition fulfilled legal requirement of the Act and whether without fulfilling that requirement of the Act, the petition can proceed further by recording evidence on behalf of the parties or it would be futile exercise to ask for the parties to support their claim and counter claim by legal evidence especially when the pleas raised in a way do not include and contain essential facts on the basis of which the evidence has to be examined. If the facts pleaded are vague and not specific and in that background it would not be legally proper to leave to the petitioner to examine evidence on a fact which has not been specifically pleaded. Otherwise also, the specific pleadings required to be taken under the Act should be of such a nature so as to afford opportunity to the contesting respondent to know about the material

facts which he is required to rebut by leading evidence. In case the pleas of the petitioner do not conform to all these tests, in that event it may not be legally useful to continue with the proceedings.

31. Section 86(5) of the Act, as reproduced above has been referred on behalf of the petitioner with the submission that at the maximum it may be said that material particulars have not been provided in the petition and for that purpose the court can ask the petitioner to do the needful. Again, that aspect of the matter has to be considered within the ambit of Section 86(5) of the Act. This provision empowers this court to allow the particulars of any corrupt practice alleged in the petition, to be amended in such a manner as may in its opinion be necessary for ensuring fair and effective trial of the petition. However, in the very next sentence, it has been provided, "but shall not allow any amendment of the petition which will have the effect of introducing particulars of a corrupt practice not previously alleged in the petition". So it is only with this rider that better particulars could be asked for. In case the better particulars being asked for introduce some new particulars of corrupt practices which could have been earlier averred in the petition, such an opportunity under the law cannot be granted in favour of the petitioner.

32. Now the pleas of the petitioner regarding the alleged corrupt practices can be appreciated in order to come to a definite conclusion whether the petition discloses a reasonable and enforceable cause of action or not? and whether the petition suffers from alleging material facts/material particulars or not?

33. The petitioner's simple case has been that the respondent has committed corrupt practice, as per Section 123(6) of the Act by incurring or authorising expenditure in contravention of Section 77 of the Act. Under Section 77 of the Act, the maximum limit of election expenditure, as prescribed for the elections of Lok Sabha in Himachal Pradesh was Rs. 4,15,000/- for each parliamentary constituency. According to the petitioner, the respondent has violated the provisions of Section 77 of the Act as he has exceeded the aforesaid limit. The instances alleged in the petition for the alleged corrupt practices have been, already detailed above, but in nut shell are as under :

1. The amount spent for leasing Helicopter for canvassing purposes ;
2. The amount spent on various publications published in newspapers, referred to earlier.
3. The amount spent for lunch of election agents on the date of counting :
4. Amount spent on telephones.

34. According to the petitioner, the aforesaid amounts have not been counted for though spent by the respondent, hence there is a violation of Section 77 of the Act and as a consequence thereof the respondent has been charged for the commission of corrupt practices, as per Section 123(6) of the Act.

35. As per pleadings of the petitioner, the respondent had spent a sum of Rs. 3,55,000/- towards charges for hiring of helicopter, while in the return only an amount of Rs. 32,292/- had been shown by the respondent. It has also been pleaded, as pointed out earlier, that Rs. 32,292/- is shown as expenditure on the basis of voucher No. 45, which actually showed that the amount was Rs. 39,292/- which was to be credited in the account of the respondent as expenditure incurred towards use of helicopter for Lok Sabha elections. Voucher No. 45 has been annexed as Annexure P3 to the petition. Any way, this variation of the amount, as per Annexure P3 (voucher No. 45) will not in any way affect the case of the respondent especially when the amount is based upon voucher No. 45 which actually was Rs. 39,292/- and not Rs. 32,292/. However, the main plea is that the amount spent has been Rs. 3,55,000/- on helicopter by the respondent.

36. Now this amount of Rs. 3,55,000 has been calculated by the petitioner is evident from the pleadings itself. The petitioner has relied upon the information given on the floor of the H. P. Vidhan Sabha pertaining to some starred question on 11-1-1996 by the Chief Minister. On the basis of the same it has been calculated keeping in view the time period for which the helicopter remained in the Constituency of the respondent. The amount alleged to have been charged has been calculated at the rate of Rs. 90,000 per hour and also the charges for the remaining period have been calculated on the basis of the said information.

37. It may be pointed out here that arithmetical calculations have been made the base for arriving at the figure of Rs. 3,55,000 as having been spent by the respondent on this account. Can this court, on the basis of these calculations made in the petition, come to a definite conclusion that such and such amount was spent by the respondent without there being any pleading averred by the petitioner with respect to details of this amount or the source thereof from where he get this information regarding the spending of this amount on helicopter by the respondent exclusively as per the

law laid down and as per the provisions of the Act, I am of the considered view that without elaborating and making out additional material facts the plea raised by the petitioner, as referred to above, cannot be put to trial. In case it is so done now, it would amount to giving an opportunity to the petitioner to fish out the evidence in order to support this allegation without there being any plea for proving those facts.

38. It may not be out of place to mention here that the petitioner knew, as has been pleaded by him that the helicopter had been taken on lease from M/s. Masco Airlines, New Delhi, for a period of two years from 25-5-1995. As already pointed out there is no allegation made in the petition as to from where the information has been received by the petitioner regarding the spending of the aforesaid amount on the helicopter by the respondent. The facts to that effect could have been easily pleaded had those been varified. There is no allegation in the petition that the petitioner approached the said Company with respect to the payment of lease money for the said period when the helicopter was used in the constituency of the respondent. There is no allegation in the petition that the said Company informed that such and such amount has been received for that purpose from such and such person, either from the party or from some individual. There is absolutely no allegation in the petition that in case the amount was paid by the Congress Party, whether in that event the respondent made that payment to the Congress Party whereby it could be pleaded that it was the respondent who had spent that amount. In case the information was that this amount was paid by some private individual apart from the respondent, whether the respondent made that payment to that private individual.

39. The petitioner, as such, has made a sweeping and general statement that the respondent had spent such and such amount on helicopter which was not counted in the expenditure statement submitted by him.

40. It is an admitted proposition of law that the requisite facts and detailed particulars of each corrupt practice with exactitude, have to be mentioned. A vague and general statement that the returned candidate spent such and such amount would not be sufficient to

constitute corrupt practice. The essence of the matter is that whatever money was spent 'whose money it was which was spent' and in case it was the money of the respondent, the plea is that regard should be there-not of general nature but to be more specific.

41. "Material facts" mean all the basic facts constituting ingredients of particular corrupt practice alleged, which the petitioner is bound to substantiate before he can succeed on this charge. In the present case in order to prove the fact that the respondent spent such and such amount for hiring helicopter, the petitioner was required to have pleaded some material facts before he was allowed to lead evidence. He cannot be allowed to collect evidence to prove his plea which evidence is to be looked for to substantiate certain material facts. The opposite party cannot be taken by surprise to rebut such an evidence which is not based and reflected from the pleadings. In the present case, as pointed out earlier, those allegations were required to have been made as a part of pleadings, which have not been made in this case. A general type of plea which is not specific can safely be said to be vague one and it will not constitute a reasonable cause of action as per the provisions of the Act. The various provisions in the Act have been provided with specific purpose so as to consider all these aspects at the threshold of the proceedings and in case the material facts of corrupt practice are lacking, as per provisions of the Act, the petition is not to be proceeded further.

42. It has been very forcefully contended on behalf of the petitioner that at the first instance material facts and material particulars are there in the petition but even if the court is of the opinion that the petition is lacking material particulars, that aspect can be asked for under Section 85(5) of the Act by way of amendment of the petition. It may be referred here that in the present case, it would not be legally possible to do so, as Section 86(5) of the Act prohibits such a course as the same would have the effect of introducing particulars of corrupt practice, not previously alleged in the petition.

43. Those material facts which are lacking in the petition, as pointed out earlier, could have been pleaded when it was filed. The petitioner could collect those informations from the private Company owning the helicopter. Admittedly, there is no allegation that the Company was approached but it declined to supply such information.

44. The plea of spending Rs. 3,55,000 for hiring helicopter by the respondent is directly connected with the alleged payment made to the Company which owned the helicopter. There is absolutely no plea raised by the petitioner as to what

was the actual amount paid to the Company owning the helicopter on account of use of the helicopter for the period referred to in the petition. What were the dates on which the aforesaid amount was paid to the Company and by whom and on whose behalf the amount was paid, is not known. All these essential facts are lacking in the petition.

45. Thus, taking into consideration the facts of this petition, as reflected in the pleadings of the petitioner, coupled with the law discussed above, in so far as the amount spent on hiring helicopter as pleaded by the petitioner it does not disclose any reasonable enforceable cause of action and the pleadings suffer from disclosing material facts in this behalf.

46. Likewise, with respect to amount, alleged to have been spent by the respondent for getting the advertisements published in the newspapers, the pleadings in this behalf again suffer from disclosing a reasonable cause of action and also suffer from pleading material facts. The case of the petitioner in this behalf has been that in his return of expenditure the respondent disclosed an amount of Rs. 13,770, alleged to have been spent by him on publishing advertisements in the newspapers. But as a matter of fact, according to the petitioner, the respondent spent an amount as detailed in para 10 of the petition, thereon.

47. Again, the petitioner has calculated this amount by applying some arithmetical formula. As per case of the petitioner, the amount spent and as detailed in this para 10 of the petition was pertaining to the advertisements published in various newspapers referred in that para on behalf of the Congress Party. Those advertisements have been looked into and those do not pertain to the respondent alone but for other Constituencies also. Various bills filed with the petition and the alleged published advertisements clearly disclosed that those were issued by the Permanent Secretary of Himachal Pradesh Congress Committee and those pertained to various constituencies with respect to the programme of the Chief Minister. What the petitioner has done is that the total amount has been divided amongst the various constituencies and the amount which comes about the respondent's Constituency, has been inferred to have been spent by the respondent for those advertisements. Again, such a plea is not only vague, incomplete but uncertain also, more so not being a specific one. A general statement has been made that the share of the respondent calculated by the petitioner was the actual amount spent by the petitioner which comes to be Rs. 1.47.309 and not Rs. 13,770 as disclosed by the respondent in his expenditure statement. There is no plea at all raised by the petitioner that the respondent made pay-

ment of Rs. 1,47,309, being attributed against his name, either to the owners of the papers directly or through the Congress Party. Not only the plea, no details to that effect have been made. Simply because by arithmetical means some amount has been calculated by the petitioner, it cannot be said to have been actually spent by the respondent on those advertisements without their being specific plea in this regard. Again, at the cost of repetition, it may be very specifically pointed out here that on the aforesaid pleas, as they stand, no relief, as prayed for, can be granted in favour of the petitioner unless and until there are specific pleas that the payment was made by the respondent either to the press directly or through the party and the party's name in the advertisement was a misnomer. Section 77 of the Act provides for exclusion of the expenditure made by the party or some private individual for the candidate. As per the plea, the amount spent was in the name of the party and in this background the plea being raised will not even help the case of the petitioner at this stage for disclosing a reasonable and enforceable cause of action.

48. The plea raised in para 12 of the petition, as detailed above, again will not be of any help to the case of the petitioner even at this stage. Again a mathematical calculation has been made to arrive at the figure of Rs. 6,300 having been spent by the respondent which amount, according to the petitioner, has not been shown by him in his expenditure statement. This plea also suffers from not disclosing material facts in this behalf. The names of the counting agents have not been pleaded. Only the numbers have been given. There is no allegation that these counting agents received receipt from the Asstt. Returning Officer for payment made by them for lunch, tea and dinner which they had taken at that particular time. There is no plea that the petitioner got this information from the Asstt. Returning Officer and he was basing the plea on the basis of that information. There is no allegation that the petitioner asked the Returning Officer as to who were the persons who had taken lunch etc. on payment of Rs. 30. There is no plea to find out whether receipts in this behalf were issued by the Asstt. Returning Officer or not. In case the plea raised was that this information was asked for by the petitioner from the Asstt. Returning Officer and the said officer had refused to give that information in that event such a plea should have been there. So in order

the case within the ambit of corrupt practices such type of general statements have to be ignored at the very threshold of the case.

49. Last but not the least, the petitioner pleaded in para 14 of the petition regarding the telephone expenses, alleged to have been incurred by the respondent against telephone No. 23909 installed in the building of M/s. Mathra Dass and Sons, known as "Zamindaran Di Hatti", at Hamirpur. Again, there is a general plea that the respondent got the aforesaid telephone installed in the aforesaid building. There is no plea that such telephone was installed by the authorities on payment made by the respondent, there is no document filed as Annexure to the petition to that effect. A general statement has been made which will not serve the purpose of the petitioner so far as the present petition is concerned. It has been pleaded that the respondent has not shown the telephone charges in the expenditure statement as required. It is further pleaded that the exact amount of telephone expenses could be calculated on the basis of the difference in the telephone bill during the election and six months prior to that on average basis. I think such a plea with respect to the amount actually spent by the respondent has to be ignored at this stage, being general in nature and not specific at all. Until today the amount alleged to have been spent in this regard by the respondent, has not been brought to the notice of the court. Again, on the basis of some assumptions and on the basis of mathematical calculations, the petitioner wants to base his plea of corrupt practice and not on specific material facts.

50. It may not be out of place to mention here that the plea of corrupt practice cannot be averred or alleged in the petition on the basis of mathematical calculations and mathematical derivations but the facts constituting the same have, at the first instance, to be specifically pleaded in the petition in accordance with the provisions of the Act. All the pleas raised in the petition, as discussed above, have been based upon some arithmetical calculations. This will not absolve the petitioner from initial liability to plead each and every fact specifically in this behalf.

51. It has been argued on behalf of the petitioner that even if a weak case is made out on the basis of the pleadings, it has to be tried on merit. Needless to say, it is not the stage where weakness

or strength of the petitioner's case can be appreciated. That stage would come when the parties are put to trial to prove the issues on merit and they lead evidence. It is not weak or strong case of the petitioner which is to be looked into at this stage. At the initial stage the only aspect to be looked into is whether the pleas have been taken in accordance with the provisions of the Act and in that background whether those pleas are such so as to put them to trial. Where pleas, under the law, suffer from legal defect, they cannot be put to trial at all. So, the weakness or the strength of petitioner's case on merit at this stage is irrelevant.

52. It may be pointed out here that the petitioner has verified the particulars of paras 7 to 14 on his personal knowledge and if it was so, the information reflecting full particulars with complete facts would have been ventilated from the pleas taken in this behalf. The personal knowledge cannot be attributed to vague and sweeping statements which are not specific at all.

53. Thus, taking into consideration the over-all aspects present in this case, the alleged facts constituting corrupt practices as pleaded do not disclose any reasonable and enforceable cause of action and the same suffers from disclosing material facts/particulars. The relief asked for on the basis of the aforesaid pleas which have been based on some arithmetical derivations, cannot be granted in favour of the petitioner. That being so, this issue is decided in favour of the respondent.

54. In view of the findings on the aforesaid Issue, the present election petition, at this stage, is dismissed. As the petition is being disposed of on preliminary Issues, parties are left to bear their own costs.

55. The Registry is directed to communicate the substance of the decision to the Election Commission and the Speaker of the Lok Sabha and also send to the Election Commission of India, an authenticated copy of this judgment in accordance with the provision of Section 103 of the Act.  
10th June, 1997 (IS)

A. L. VAIDYA, Judge

[No. 82]HP-HP[1]96]

By Order,

K. J. RAO, Secy.

## आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

आ. अ. 138:—यहतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि लोक सभा के निर्वाचन 1996 के लिए जो नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हुआ है स्तम्भ (3) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्यवहारों का लेखा विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक् सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए या तो कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है या उनके द्वारा दिए गए अभ्यावेदनों पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायांचित्य नहीं है;

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम कीधारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी मदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य-क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहृत घोषित करता है:—

## सारणी

क्र. सं.	संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की संख्या और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम	निरहृत का कारण
----------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------

1	2	3	4
1. 4—जाजपुर (अ. जा.)	श्री भास्कर चन्द्र जैना, ग्राम/डाकघर—खैरबाद, जिला—जाजपुर, उड़ीसा	विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा।	—वही—
2. 12—कोरापुट (अ. ज. जा.)	श्री दीरी सिंह कम्पीका, सुपुत्र/श्री बसाना कम्पीका, स्थान/डाकघर/काचापाई, स्थान—बिस्साम कटक, जिला—रायगढ़ उड़ीसा]		

[सं. 76/उड़ीसा-नो. स./ 97 (6)]

आदेश से,  
अनप्याम खोहर, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 138.—Whereas, the Election Commission is satisfied that the contesting candidates specified in column (3) of the Table below at the election to the House of the People 1996 held from the constituency specified in column (2) against his/her name has failed to lodge an account of his/her election expenses or in the manner required by law, as shown in column (4) of the said table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice or the Election Commission, after considering the representations, if any, made by him/her is satisfied that he/she has no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (3) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being, a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

Sl. No. & Name of Parliamentary No. Constituency	Name of contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification	
1	2	3	4
1. 4-Jajpur (SC)	Sh. Bhaskar Chandra Jena, Vill/PO-Khairabad Dist. Jajpur (Orissa)	Failed to lodge the account in manner required by the law..	
2. 12-Koraput (ST)	Sh. Birsingh Kumbrika S/o Sh. Basana Kumbrika At/PO : Kachapai Via-Bissam Cuttack Dist. : Rayagada (Orissa)	Failed to lodge the account of election expenses	

[No. 76/OR-HP/97(6)]

By Order

GHANSHYAM KHOHAR, Secy.

आदेश

नई विल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

आ. अ. 139.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि विधान सभा के 1996 में हुए निर्वाचन के लिए जो नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हुआ है स्तम्भ (3) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वान बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन ध्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्याययों ने सम्यक् सूखना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए या तो कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है या उनके द्वारा दिए गए अभ्यायेवनों पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त अफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायीचित्य नहीं है;

अस: अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट ध्ययितयों को संदर्भ के या किसी राजव/संघ राज्य-क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है:—

सारणी

क्र. सं.	संसदीय /विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहित का कारण
क्र. सं.	संसदीय /विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र	निरहित का कारण	निरहित का कारण

1	2	3	4
1. 232—दीनापुर (अ. झ. झा.)	श्री रामचन्द्र सरेन ग्राम कामरे ग्रामीण पो. सिल्दा, जिला मिहनापुर प. बंगाल	विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से लेखा लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया।	
2. 270—बर्द्दान उत्तर	श्री रमेश सिंह पूर्व नीमचा कोलियरी, पो. आ. विधान बाग जिला—बर्द्दान		—वही—

1	2	3	4
3.	271—बर्देवाम बद्धिण	श्री हरि नन्दन राम, पूर्व नीमचा कोलियरी पो. आ. विधान बाग, जिला बर्देवाम, पश्चिम बंगाल	विधि द्वारा अपक्रित रीति से लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया
4.	279—पूर्वस्थली	श्री जीवन कृष्ण दास, ग्राम सन्तोषपुर, पो. आ. पटौली जिला बर्देवाम, पश्चिम बंगाल	—सहौ—

[सं. 76/प. वं.-वि. स./ 97 (1)]

प्रादेश से,  
बनेश्वाम खोहर, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 139.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (3) of the Table below at the Election to the Legislative Assembly, 1996 held from the constituency specified in column (2) against his/her name has failed to lodged an account of his/her election expenses as shown in column (4) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas, the said candidates has either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice or the Election Commission, after considering the representation, if any, made by him/her satisfied that he/she has no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the person specified in column (3) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and/or being a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State/U.T. for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

S. No.	No. & Name of Assembly Constituency	Name of contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification.
1.	232-Binpur (ST)	Sh. Ram Chandra Saren, Village Kamare Andhi, Post : Silda Dist : Midnapore (West Bengal)	Account not lodged in the manner required by law.
2.	270-Burdwan North	Shri Ramendra Singh East Nimcha Colliery, P.O. Bidhan Bag District Burdwan (West Bengal)	-do-
3.	271-Burdwan South	Shri Hari Nandan Ram, East Nimcha Colliery, P.O. Bidhan Bag, District Burdwan, West Bengal	-do-
4.	279-Purbasthali	Shri Jiban Krishna Das, Vill : Sontoshpur, P.O. : Patuli, District Burdwan West Bengal	-do-

[No. 76/WB-LA/97(1)]

By Order,  
GHANSHYAM KHOHAR, Secy.

## आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जूलाई, 1997

आ.अ. 140.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्विष्ट बिहार राज्य से विधान सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995 के लिये जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्विष्ट निर्वाचन-ओवर से पुनर्प्र है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्विष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अध्यर्थी, सोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्यारों का कोई भी सेखा दाखिल करने में भ्रसपल रहा है;

और यसके उक्त अध्यर्थियों ने सम्यक् सूचना दिये जाने पर भी असफलता के लिये कोई कारण अवश्य स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई प्रश्नित कारण या व्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्विष्ट अधिकारी को रास्ते के किसी भी सदन या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये निर्वाचन घोषित करता है:—

## सारणी

क्रम सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्रम सं., और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अध्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहूता का कारण
----------	-------------------	--	--	-----------------

1	2	3	4	5
1.	बिहार विधान सभा के लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	127—रानीगंज (अ.जा.)	श्री गोविन्द पासवान, ग्राम—पो.—हिंगवा भाया—बनमतखी जिला—प्ररारिया बिहार।	निर्वाचन व्यारों का कोई भी सेखा दाखिल करने में असफल
2.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री नारायण कु. राम प्ररारिया, बाँड़ नं. 11 पो.—थाना—जिला—प्ररारिया, बिहार	--वही--
3.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री वकील पासवान, ग्राम—धबानी नगर पो.—थाना—वोसी जिला—प्ररारिया, बिहार।	--वही--
4.	--वही--	120—नरपतगंज	श्री श्रीको मुमार मण्डल, ग्राम—कोसकापुर पो.—कोसकापुर, जिला—प्ररारिया, बिहार।	--वही--
5.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री विपिन सम्भाट ग्राम—बदू पारा पो.—पंजरकटा, नरपतगंज, जिला—प्ररारिया, बिहार।	--वही--
6.	--वही--	128—फारविसगंज	श्री नरेश प्रसाद, सदर रोड, जोगवनी, प्ररारिया, बिहार।	--वही--
7.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री भगवान चौधरी, बाँड़ नं. 12 ई.डी.रोड, फारविसगंज, प्ररारिया, बिहार।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
8.	बिहार विधान सभा के सिये माध्यारण निर्बाचन, 1995	129—फारविसगंज	श्री महेश्वर प्रसाद मण्डल ग्राम—पो.—कोसकापुर भाषा—मेरीगंज, अररिया, बिहार।	निर्वाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल
9.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री लतु पासदान ग्राम—मदारगंज, पो.—थाना—सिमराहा अररिया बिहार।	—वही—
10.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री लाल बाबू वाड़ नं. 13, फारविसगंज, अररिया, बिहार।	—वही—
11.	—वही—	179—तारापुर	श्री अर्जीत कु. वर्मा रहमतपुर पत्तलय—असरगंज, जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
12.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री जागेश्वर यादव ग्राम—रैनिया पो.—गौरवडिह जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
13.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री दिलीप कु. रंजन ग्राम—पो.—असरगंज, जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
14.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री बालमीकि भोवी ग्रा.—कुमरसार, पो.—सहौडा पुलिस स्टेशन—संग्रामपुर जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
15.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राजेन्द्र दिक्षित ग्राम—पो.—संग्रामपुर जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
16.	—वही—	180—खडगपुर	श्री उचित सिंह ग्राम—कैरी पो.—थाना—हवेली, खडगपुर, जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
17.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री नवीन कु. मण्डल ग्राम—टैटिया, पो.—टैटियावन्धव जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
18.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राजेन्द्र प्र. साह ग्राम—खास बाजार पो.—हवेली खडगपुर, जिला—मुंगेर, बिहार।	—वही—
19.	—वही—	221—झुमराँव	श्री त्रिलोकी सिंह ग्राम—पो.—चिलही थाना—झुमराँव जिला—बक्सर, बिहार।	निर्वाचित व्ययों का लेखा विधि सम्मत रंग से दाखिल नहीं

1	2	3	4	5
20.	बिहार विधान सभा के लिए सांघारण निर्वाचन 1995	310-सिसई(आ.ज.जा.)	श्री कलेमेट टोप्पो ग्राम-रोशनपुर, पोस्ट-सिसई. जिला-गुमला, बिहार।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा विधि सम्मत ढंग से दाखिल नहीं

[सं. 76/बिहार-वि.स./97]

आदेश से,  
घनश्याम खोहर, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 140.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the table below at the General Election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly, 1995 as specified in Column (2) held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his name has failed to lodge any account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the rules made thereunder as shown in column (5) of the said Table;

And whereas, the said candidates have not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice and the Election Commission is thus satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10-A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of the Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a state for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of election	Number and name of the Constituency	Name and address	Reasons of disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
1.	General Election to the Legislative Assembly of Bihar, 1995.	127-Raniganj (SC)	Sh. Govind Paswan, Vill.—P.O. Hingua, Via Banmankhi, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
2.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Narain Kr. Ram, Ward No. 11, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
3.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Vakil Paswan, Vill. Bhawaninagar, Bounsi, Araria, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
4.	-do-	128-Narpatganj	Sh. Ashok Kumar Mandal Vill. & P.O. Koshkapur, Via. Narpatganj, Araria, Bihar.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
5.	General Election to the Legislative Assembly of Bihar, 1995.	128-Narputganj	Sh. Vipin Samrat Vill. Badhrepara, P.O. Punjarkata, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
6.	-do-	129-Forbesganj	Sh. Naresh Prasad, Sadar Road, Jogbani Gandhi Chowk, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
7.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Bhagwan Choudhary, Ward No. 12, D.D. Road, Forbesganj, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
8.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Maheshwar Prasad Mandal, Vill. —P.O. Koshkapur, Via Mariganj, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
9.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Latu Paswan, Vill. Madaarganj, P.O. Simraha, P.S. Simraha, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
10.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Lal Babu, Ward No. 13, Forbesganj, Distt. Araria, Bihar.	-do-
11.	-do-	179-Tarapur,	Sh. Ajit Ku. Verma, Rahmatpur, P.O. Asharganj, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
12.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Jageshwar Yadav, Village Rainiya, P.O. Gauravdih, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
13.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Dilip Ku. Ranjan, Village — P.O. Asharganj, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
14.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Balmiki Modi, Vill. Kumarsar, P.O. Sahora, P.S. Sangrampur, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
15.	General Election to the Legislative Assembly of Bihar, 1995.	179-Tarapur	Sh. Rajendra Diskshit, Village Sangrampur, P.O. Sangrampur, Distt. Munger, Bihar. Distt. Munger, Bihar.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
16.	-do-	180-Kharagpur	Sh. Vahit Singh Village Kaithi, P.O.—P.S. Haveli Kharagpur, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
17.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Navin Ku. Mandal, Vill. Tetia, P.O. Tetiabumber, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
18.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Rajendra Pr. Sah, Vill. Khas Bazar, P.O. Havelikharagpur, Distt. Munger, Bihar.	-do-
19.	-do-	221-Dumraon	Sh. Triloki Singh, Chilhai, Dumraon, Buxar, Bihar.	Failure to lodge any Account of election expenses in manner required by law.
20.	-do-	310-Sisai (S.T.)	Sh. Clement Toppo At. Roshanpur, P.O. Sisai, Distt. Gumla, Bihar.	-do-

[No. 76/BR-LA/97]

By Order,  
GHANSHYAM KHOHAR, Secy.

## आदेश

तई फिल्ही, 7 जूलाई, 1997

का.आ. 141.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा-विनिदिष्ट राजस्थान विधान सभा के उप निर्वाचन के लिये जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिदिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिदिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अनुकूल उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा-विनियोगित अन्ते निर्वाचन अधिकारी का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने निर्वाचन आयोग द्वारा सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये न तो कोई कारण और न ही स्पष्टीकरण दिया है अथवा उनके द्वारा प्रस्तुत अस्थायेदेन पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई पर्याप्त कारण या चायोचित्य नहीं है;

अब; अब, निर्वाचन आयोग, उक्त अधिनयम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिविष्ट व्यक्तियों का संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य या संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये एतद्वारा निरहित घोषित करता है।

आम सं. निर्वाचन का विवरण विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्रम सं. और नाम निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम निरहित का कारण और पता

1. विधान सभा के लिये उप निर्वाचन, 1996	28-झुंझुनू	श्री अकराज नवी, 154क नारशाह रोड, वार्ड नं. 10, झुंझुनू, राजस्थान।	निर्वाचन व्यक्तियों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
---	------------	--	---

[सं. 76/राज.-वि.स./96(उ)]  
आदेश से,  
बाबू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O. N. 141.—Whereas the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the Bye Election to the Legislative Assembly in Rajasthan State specified in column (2) and held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his/her name has failed to lodge any account of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidates have either not furnished any reasons of explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission or the Commission after considering the representation made by them, if any, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10-A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State or Union Territory for a period of 3 years from the date of this order:—

## TABLE

S. Particulars of Election No.	No. & Name of the Assembly Constitu- tency	Name and address	Reasons for disqualification	
1	2	3	4	5
1. Bye-Election to the Rajasthan Legislative Assembly, 1996	28-Jhunjhunu	Sh. Akrajnavi, 154/A Narshah Road, Ward No. 10, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged.	

[No. 76/RJ-LA/96 (Bye)]

By Order,  
BABU RAM, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

आ.अ. 142.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथाधिनिविष्ट राजस्थान लोक सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिये औ स्तम्भ (3) में विनिविष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिविष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तभीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अवैधित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथाधिनिविष्ट अपने निर्वाचन व्यक्तियों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अध्ययियों ने निर्वाचन आयोग द्वारा सम्बन्धी सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये न कोई कारण और न ही स्पष्टीकरण दिया है, अथवा उनके द्वारा प्रस्तुत अध्यावेदन पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्च निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अत., अब, निर्वाचन आयोग, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों का संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य या संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस आवेदन की तारीख से सीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये एतद्वारा निरहित घोषित करता है।

ऋग्मि निर्वाचन का विवरण सं.	लोक सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की ऋ.सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अध्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहित का कारण	
1	2	3	4	5
22.	लोक सभा के लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, 1996	4-सुमुनू	श्री गुलाम दुसें,	निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा ग्रा.पो. हांसलसर, तहसील-उदयपुरखाटी, राजस्थान ।
23.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री प्यारेलाल	—वही—
24.	—वही—	—वही—	ग्राम पो. इस्लामपुर, राजस्थान श्री बीरबल राम	—वही—
25.	—वही—	—वही—	मु.पो. खिरोड, तह. -नवलगढ़, राजस्थान ।	—वही—
26.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री रघुबीर सिंह, मु.पो. टमकोर, जिला-सुमुनू	—वही—
27.	—वही—	—वही—	राजस्थान ।	—वही—
28.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री राजपाल पुहानिया, ग्रा.पो. पुहानिया,	—वही—
29.	—वही—	—वही—	बाया-सिधाना, जिला-सुमुनू राजस्थान	—वही—
30.	—वही—	24-जोधपुर	श्री रोताश	—वही—
31.	—वही—	—वही—	मु.पो. बनवास, बाया-सिधाना, राजस्थान ।	—वही—
32.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री लक्ष्मण सिंह, पी. सुलताना, जिला-सुमुनू, राजस्थान	—वही—
33.	—वही—	21-पाली	श्री हरि प्रसाद वाडे नं. 24, अडूकिया स्कूल के पीछे, विहावा, राजस्थान ।	—वही—
			श्री रामदेव आचार्य	—वही—
			महाकाशुण, बसन्त प्रकाश भवन, तिसकड़ाव के पास, जालोर, राजस्थान ।	—वही—
			श्री वदन लाल	—वही—
			पुत्र शंकर लाल, नयाबास, सालावास, जोधपुर, राजस्थान	—वही—
			श्री भूलाराम	—वही—
			माथुर बेरा, खौपासनी चारणान, तह. ओसिया, राजस्थान ।	—वही—
			मोहम्मद अली, पी. कुमारी, तह-नागौर, राजस्थान	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
34.	लोक सभा के लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, 1996	22-जालोर	श्री चुन्नीसाल पुत्र श्री प्रेमाराम गांव पोस्ट सायला तह, ब जिला जालोर, राजस्थान।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
35.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री काशुराम तलबी रोड, भीनमाल, राजस्थान।	—वही—
36.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री शंकरलाल नरवाण, सदर बाजार, लक्ष्यगढ़, राजस्थान।	—वही—
37.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री समाराम, हरीजन कालोनी, तलबी रोड, भीनमाल, राजस्थान।	—वही—
38.	—वही—	12-अजमेर	श्री सायर सिंह चौहान मु. पो. धोलादांता बाया मसूदा, जिला-अजमेर, राजस्थान।	लेखा विधि द्वारा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
39.	—वही—	1-गंगानगर (अ. जा.)	श्री नानू राम, गां. डा. पनीवाली जाटान, तह. साढ़ूल शहर, राजस्थान।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
40.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री पन्नालाल, वाँड सं. 6, पदमपुर, तह. पदमपुर, राजस्थान।	—वही—
41.	—वही—	10-ब्रयाना	श्री भगवान सिंह सागर, मागरपाड़ा, धीलपुर, राजस्थान।	—वही—
42.	—वही—	20-भीलवाड़ा	श्री सम्पत लाल खाव्या, 164, बकील कालोनी, भीलवाड़ा, राजस्थान।	—वही—
43.	—वही—	9-भरतपुर	श्री रमेशचन्द्र, रमेश टायर सोल, तुमायर रोड, भरतपुर, राजस्थान।	—वही—
44.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री तेज सिंह कौजवार, प्राम-पीरनगर, पो.-गावडी (भरतपुर) राजस्थान।	—वही—
45.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री रामजीलाल, चोर डूगरी, मेजर कमल सिंह का कार्म, जयपुर रोड, अलवर, राजस्थान।	—वही—
46.	—वही—	—वही—	श्री श्रीमीर सिंह, प्राम-बछ(मनका, मु. पो. शीडवा, जिला अलवर, राजस्थान।	—वही—

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O. N. 142.—Whereas the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the General Election to the House of the People in Rajasthan State specified in column 2 and held from the constituency specified in column 3 against his/her name has failed to lodge any account of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidates have either not furnished any reasons of explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission or the Commission after considering the representation made by them, if any, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10-A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State or Union Territory for a period of 3 years from the date of this order:—

TABLE

1	2	3	4	5
22.	General Election to the Rajasthan Lok Sabha, 1996.	4-Jhunjhunu	Gulam Hussain, Vill. and Post Hasalsar, Teh. Udaipurwati, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged.
23.	-do-	-do-	Pyarelal Vill. and Post Islampur, Rajasthan.	-do-
24.	-do-	-do-	Birbalram, Vill. and Post Khirod, Teh. Nawalgarh, Rajasthan.	-do-
25.	-do-	-do-	Raghuveer Singh, Vill. & Post Tamkor, Distt. Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.	-do-
26.	-do-	-do-	Rajpal Puhania, Vill. & Post Puhanja, Via Singhana, Distt. Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.	-do-
27.	-do-	-do-	Rotash, Vill. and Post Banwas, Via Singhana, Rajasthan.	-do-
28.	-do-	-do-	Laxman Singh, Post Sultana, Distt. Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.	-do-
29.	-do-	-do-	Hari Prasad, Ward, No. 24, Behind Arudia School, Chirawa, Rajasthan.	-do-
30.	-do-	24-Jodhpur	Ramdeo Aacharya, Mahabrahaman, Basant Prakash, Bhawan Near Tilak, Dwar, Jalore, Rajasthan.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
31.	General Election to the Rajasthan Lok Sabha, 1996.	24-Jodhpur	Mandanlal/Shankarlal, Naya Bas, Salawas, Jodhpur, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged.
32.	-do-	-do-	Moola Ram, Mathur Bera, Chopasni Charnan, Teh. Osian, Rajasthan.	-do-
33.	-do-	21-Pali	Mohammed Ali, Post Kumari, Tehsil Nagore, Rajasthan.	-do-
34.	-do-	22-Jalore	Chunni Lal, S/o Prema Ram, Vill. & Post. Sayla, Teh. & Distt. Jalore, Rajasthan.	-do-
35.	-do-	-do-	Kalu Ram, Talbi Road, Bhinmal, Rajasthan.	-do-
36.	-do-	-do-	Shankar Lal Narban, Sadar Bazar, Takhatgarh, Rajasthan.	-do-
37.	-do-	-do-	Samaram, Harijan Colony, Talbi Road, Bhinmal, Rajasthan.	-do-
38.	-do-	12-Ajmer	Sayar Singh Chouhan, Vill. & Post Dhola, Danta, Viz. Masuda, Distt. Ajmer, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged in manner required by law.
39.	-do-	1-Ganganagar (S.C.)	Nanu Ram, V. & P.O. Panniwali, Jatan Teh. Sedusahar, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged.
40.	-do-	-do-	Panna Lal, Ward No. 6, Padampur, Teh. Padampur, Rajasthan.	-do-
41.	-do-	10-Bayan	Bhagwan Singh Sagar, Sagarpada, Dholpur, Rajasthan.	-do-
42.	-do-	20-Bhilwara	Sampat Lal Khabya, 164-Vakil Colony, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.	-do-
43.	-do-	9-Bharatpur	Ramesh Chand, Ramesh Tyre Sole, Exhibition Road, Bharatpur, Rajasthan.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
44.	General Election to the Rajasthan Lok Sabha, 1996	9—Bharatpur	Taj Singh Foujdar, Vill. Peer Nagar, P.O. Gamri, Bharatpur, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged
45.	-do-	-do-	Ramji Lal, Chor Dungri, Major Kamal Singh, Farm, Jaipur Road, Alwar, Rajasthan.	-do-
46.	-do-	-do-	Amir Singh, Vill. Bakamanka, P.O. Chidwa, Distt. Alwar, Rajasthan.	-do-

[No. 76/RJ-HP/97]

By Order,  
BABU RAM, Secy.

## प्रावेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

ग्रा. ग्र. 143.—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा-विनिर्दिष्ट राजस्थान विधान सभा के उप निर्वाचन के लिये जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से हूँगा है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अध्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व प्रधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा-दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्यक्तियों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अध्यर्थियों ने निर्वाचन आयोग द्वारा सम्यक् सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये न तो कोई कारण और न ही स्पष्टीकरण दिया है अथवा उनके द्वारा प्रस्तुत अध्यावेदन पर, यदि कोई हो, विवार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई पर्याप्त कारण यथायोक्तिय नहीं है।

अतः प्रब, निर्वाचन आयोग, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों का संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य या संघ राज्यक्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस प्रावेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये एतद्वारा मिरहित घोषित करता है।

क्र. निर्वाचन का विवरण सं.	विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्रम सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अध्यर्थी का साम और पता	मिरहित का कारण
1. विधान सभा के लिये उप निर्वाचन, 1995	153—भीलवाडा	श्री हृष्ण गोपाल, गणेश मन्दिर के पास, गांधी नगर, भीलवाडा, राजस्थान।	निर्वाचन व्यक्तों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे
2. —वही—	—वही—	श्री बृज नारायण, अजमेर रोड, भीलवाडा, राजस्थान।	—वही—
3. —वही—	—वही—	श्री प्रेम चन्द, गहलोट, रेलवे स्टेशन, नाथद्वारा सराय, भीलवाडा, राजस्थान।	लेखा विधि द्वारा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।

[सं. 76/राज.-वि. स./95-(उप)

प्रावेश से,  
बाबू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O. N. 143.—Whereas the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (4) of the Table below at the Bye-Election to the Legislative Assembly in Rajasthan State specified in column (2) and held from the constituency specified in column (3) against his/her name has failed to lodge any account of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidates have either not furnished any reasons of explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission or the Commission after considering the representation made by them, if any, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10-A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column (4) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State or Union Territory for a period of 3 years from the date of this order:—

TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of Election	No. & Name of the Assembly Constituency	Name and address	Reasons for disqualification
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1.	Bye-Election to the Rajasthan Legislative Assembly, 1995.	153-Bhilwara	Sh. Krishan Gopal, Near Ganesh Mandir, Gandhinagar Bhilwara, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged.
2.	-do-	-co-	Sh. Brij Narayan Ajmer Road, Bhilwara, Rajasthan.	-do-
3.	-do-	-do-	Sh. Prem Chand Gehlot, Railway Station, Nathwara Sarai Bhilwara, Rajasthan.	Account not lodged in manner required by law.

[No. 76/RJ-LA/95.(Bye)]

By Order  
BABU RAM, Secy.

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

आ. अ. 144—यह, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिये जो स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-श्रेणी से हुआ है, स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा-दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा वाचिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और यह उक्त अभ्यर्थियों से सम्यक् सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये या तो कोई कारण अवश्यक नहीं दिया है या उसके द्वारा दिये गये अभ्यावेदनों पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे वी सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य, क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा परिषद के सदस्य बने जाने और होने के लिये इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

## सारणी

क्रम.सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	विधान सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्र.सं. और नाम	निवाचन लड़ने वाले अस्थर्यों का नाम और पता	निरहृता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
1.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	2—बैनुरा	श्री कदम निजेन धाकु, नावकरी चाल, कनकावाली नासुक, कनकावाली।	निर्वाचन अवयों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
2.	—वही—	4—देवगढ़	डा. वारिक सुधीर गणपत, डी-18, राधाकृष्ण नगर जारीमारी कुर्सी(ई), मुम्बई नं. 72	—वही—
3.	—वही—	5—राजापर	पवार भागाजी हरि, स्थान, काटीवाले, पो. प्रा. कोडये, ता. राजापर।	—वही—
4.	—वही—	7—संगमेश्वर	काजी रियाज उसमान उर्फ एम.आर. काजी, स्थान-सतावाली बंदेर, ता. लाल्जा	—वही—
5.	—वही—	—वही—	रमाकांत शिवाराम कदम, स्थान-पुर, पो. प्रा. पटांगवाला देवसख, ता. संगमेश्वर।	—वही—
6.	—वही—	—वही—	कंलकर नारायण केशव, स्थान व पो. प्रा.—कस्बा संगमेश्वर, ता. संगमेश्वर।	—वही—
7.	—वही—	—वही—	प्रा. आर.बी. जोशी, स्थान व पो. प्रा.—कस्बा, ता. संगमेश्वर।	—वही—
8.	—वही—	18—खालापुर	शिंडे दाताजी अनन्त, मकान नं. 436 ए, पाटिल घरी, शिवाजी चौक, करजात (रायगढ़)	—वही—
9.	—वही—	20—उमरखाडी	अंसारी रोमोह. कसम इस्माइल, 2/18, सरमेवाली चाल, भूतल, कमरा नं. 6, इसमावाडा रोड, प्राया सदन के सामने, मुम्बई-400009	—वही—
10.	—वही—	—वही—	नवाब सैयद मोहम्मद, बी-1, निम्रो विक्रम मुहम्मदार नगर, जे.पी. रोड, भंधेरी (परिचम), मुम्बई-400050	—वही—
11.	—वही—	22—खेतखाडी	प्रमुख हमीद ज़ेब, 27 ए/30, प्रथम तल, उमरनाथ और सन, दायोल रंगारी स्ट्रीट, मुम्बई-9	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
12.	मध्याराष्ट्र विभाग सभा के लिए सांघारण निवाचिन 1995	22-खेतवाडी	जैन मुक्तसी घन्थ उर्फ धीरजभाई, कुंदनबाल, 17, भगत विल्हग, 1/15-16 के. एम. जावेरी मार्ग, मुम्बई ।	निर्बाधित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दोखिल करने में असफल रहा।
13.	--वही--	--वही--	सेयद मोह. बकार, 275-279, फेमस हाई, वक्सी, उमर जमाल कम्पाऊंड बेलासिस रोड, मुम्बई-8	--वही--
14.	--वही--	24-मालाबार हिल	दफतरी जीवनलाल गिरधारीलाल, 202-एंविंग चन्दनबाला प्रपार्टमेंट, आर.आर. ठक्कर मार्ग, बलकेश्वर, मुम्बई-6	--वही--
15.	--वही--	25-चिंचपोकली	अंसारी हाजी निसार अहमद, 4/3, बी. आई.टी. घाल, प्रथम तल, मोमिनपुरा एम.एस. अंसारी मार्ग, मुम्बई-11	--वही--
16.	--वही--	--वही--	जान मोह. शबीर मोह. नासीर दुस्सूनीन, मंसूर विल्हग, 198, दुस्सू तल, एम.जी. राजब रोड, मुम्बई-8	--वही--
17.	--वही--	--वही--	सुर्यकांत लक्ष्मण नायक, मोतोशी भवन, 119, महातार पाखाडी, टैक स्क्वारम मजागांव, मुम्बई-10	--वही--
18.	--वही--	26-नागपाडा	जान फ़ार्मिसिस डायर्स, 402-ए, सिद्धार्थ आई.सी. कालोनी, बोरिवाली, (डल्लू), मुम्बई-33	--वही--
19.	--वही--	28-परेल	चत्रकांत महावेब जानकर ग्राम्युदय भगर विल्हग नं. 15/1048 कलोचावकी, मुम्बई-33	--वही--
20.	-वही-	-वही-	दिलीप संदीपन जानकर गणेशनगर हटमेंट्स सखाराम लालजेकर मार्ग वक्षिण।	-वही-
21.	-वही-	-वही-	सदाशिव वसंत परब बाबला विल्हग नं ० २ कमरा नं ० ४ दलाराम लाल मार्ग मुम्बई-12	-वही-
22.	-वही-	-वही-	डा० सत्यवान भाऊ नन्दोसकर ४ ए-रामेश्वरी, रामेश्वर को- प्रापरेटिव हाअसिंग सोसाइटी परेल, मुम्बई-12	-वही-
23.	-वही-	33; -मालुंगा	खान तम्याब खाल मतसाबखान, कमरा नं ४४६ संगम नगर, आजाद मोहूल्ला, अंतोप हिल, मुम्बई-37	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
24	महाराष्ट्र विद्यान सभा के लिए साधारण मिशन	मातुंगा	ठाकर अशोक हरिहर 207/8 साधाना स्टेशन रोड वाढला मुम्बई 31	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
1995	—वही—	—वही—	राजन एस के कानन, 169/1524 सी.जी.एस. ब्वार्ट्स सेक्टर 5 अटोफिल मुम्बई 37	—वही—
25	—वही—	—वही—	शेट्टी मणिदामोदर इविरा नगर हटमेट हेमन्त मजरेकर मार्ग, सियोन कालीबाडा 37	—वही—
26	—वही—	—वही—	सोनावाने गोविन्द भीमाजी दादासाहेब गायकवाड नगर बॉलिंग मॉड 635 रखाली केश्य मुम्बई 37	—वही—
27	—वही—	—वही—	गोतमचन्द्र जैन 215 महावीर आपार्टमेंट बी.पी.रोड भाईंदर (ई) तालुक जिला ठाणे।	—वही—
28	—वही—	54-बेलापुर	झकडे म हेन्ड्र रथनाथ राव पी.ई.एस. एजुकेशनल कॉम्पलेक्स (स्टाफ ब्वार्ट्स) सेक्टर न० 1 सी.बी.डी. (बेलापुर) न्यू मुम्बई ता० ठाणे	—वही—
29	—वही—	—वही—	क्षिवेदी रवीन्द्र राममूर्ति कामिनी निवास पाँडुरगवाडी पोस्ट मीरा ता० व जिला ठाणे	—वही—
30	—वही—	—वही—	प्रकाशबापु पराजी मधाले जनकादेवी कोप्राप० हाउसिंग सोसाइटी बिल्डिंग न० 31/991 बाटेक नगर ठाणे ता० व जिला ठाणे।	—वही—
31	—वही—	—वही—	एडवी० शेख जामिल रफिउद्दीन 5/47 आर्टिस्ट विलेज सेक्टर 8 सी.बी.डी० कोकण भवन तालुक व जिला-ठाणे।	—वही—
32	—वही—	—वही—	आहूजा श्रीचम्द पेंजोमल बैरक न० 1092 ओ० टी. मेक्शन उल्हासनगर 3	—वही—
33	—वही—	55-उल्हास नगर	गवाली कांशीनाथ माधवदास महात्मा गांधीनगर बी० न० 681 उल्हासनगर 3	—वही—
34	—वही—	—वही—	दुधानी राम प्रभदास, ब्लाक नं. ए-764/1328, गांधीनगर, उल्हासनगर 5	—वही—
35	—वही—	—वही—		—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
36.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण नियमित्यनि, 1995	55—उल्हासनगर	प्रेमचंद्रवानी श्रीतीराम गोलोमाल बेरक नं. 1849, कमरा नं. 20, उल्हासनगर-5	निवाचित स्थिरों का कोई भी लेखा वाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
37.	--वही--	--वही--	बातिजा कमल सुन्दरदास, बेरक नं. 1084/55, ओ.टी. सेक्षन, उल्हासनगर-4	--वही--
38.	--वसी--	56—अम्बरनाथ	गायकवाड श्याम प्रानन्द, 991, परितोष निघाडा, अम्बरनाथ, ठाणे।	--वही--
39.	--वही--	--वही--	कुमुद रामवास बेंडाले, कांति भवन, रामजन सोसाइटी, कुलगांव, बदलापुर।	--वही--
40.	--वही--	58—वाढा (ब.ज.जा.)	दिलासे काळीनाथ रामचन्द्र, स्थान—दिलासे, पो. मोज, तालुक—वाढा, जिला—ठाणे।	--वही--
41.	--वही--	102—मलकापुर	जाधव राजेन्द्र सिंह, जुलासिंह, स्थान—पो. टाकारखेदम, ता. नानुरा, जिला बुलढाना।	--वही--
42.	--वही--	--वही--	नाफेदे श्रविनाथ बामनराव, लाट बामनराव नाफेदे चाक, वार्ड नं. 4, नानुरा, जिला—बुलढाना।	--वही--
43.	--वही--	104—चिंचली	जाधव राजू विनकर राव, वार्ड नं. 20, चिंचली, जिला—बुलढाना।	--वही--
44.	--वही--	--वही--	टारमाले पूजाजी दगाडु, स्थान—पो. भुपालगांव सराय, ता. व जिला—बुलढाना।	--वही--
45.	--वही--	105—सिध्बेड़राजा	खिलारे रामवास लक्ष्मण, स्थान—पंगरिकाट, पो. आ, बाऊगावसमाली, ता. सिध्बेड़राजा, बुलढाना।	--वही--
46.	--वही--	--वही--	टाम्बेकर भुजंगराव यशवन्तराव, स्था. पो. शिवनिटाका, ता. सिध्बेड़राजा, जिला—बुलढाना।	--वही--
47.	--वही--	--वही--	राठोड़ परोराजी आ.पू. स्थान—पिम्परखेड़, पो. आ, अवगावनराजा, ता. सिध्बेड़राजा, जिला—बुलढाना।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
48.	महाराष्ट्र विधान भवा के लिए सामारण मिवचिन 1995	105—सिंधुखेड़ राजा	राठोड़ थावराडी राष्ट्रो, स्थान पिम्परखेड़, पो.पा. अवगांवराजा, ता. सिंधुखेड़राजा, जिला—कुलदाना।	निवाचिन घटयों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में ग्रसफल रहे।
49.	—वही—	106—मेहकर	मोरे लक्ष्मण मिवर्सति, डा. बाबासाहेब श्रम्भेड़कर नगर, मुलुन्द पूर्व, मर्हाई-81	—वही—
50.	—वही—	108—खामगांव	चबन गोपाल सिंह लामसिंह, स्थान भ प०-हिंगनो कारेगांव ता० खामगांव, जिला-नुसुंद्राना।	—वही—
51.	—वही—	107-जालम्ब	पाटिल देवीशास परशुराम, स्थान प०मामुराबाद, ता० जिला, जलगांव (खंडेश)	—वही—
52.	—वही—	—वही—	ए० सीलम ए० सामेद, वार्ड म० 18, स्थान प०, ता० जलगांव, (आमोद) जिला-नुसुंद्राना।	—वही—
53.	—वही—	112-बालापुर	राजाराम बंदुजी उकहारे, स्थान पथाराम, प०-चादी ता० पाटुर	—वही—
54.	—वही—	—वही—	सलाषतखान हीफजुलखान, स्थान और प० काजीपुरा पाटुर।	—वही—
55.	—वही—	—वही—	ग्रामाराम दयानदेश लखाडे, स्थान और प० चतारी ता० पाटुर।	—वही—
56.	—वही—	—वही—	प्रभाकर पांडुरंग धोरे, स्थान और प०स्ट चटारी, ता० पाटुर।	विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से लेखा दाखिल करने में ग्रसफल रहे।
57.	—वही—	118-दरयापुर	टायडे अरुण पांडुरंग, स्थान प० बामोसा, ता० दरयापुर।	निवाचिन घटयों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में ग्रसफल रहे।
58.	—वही—	—वही—	प्रठाकाळे विश्वासराव, मोहीरामजी, वार्ड म० 6 अंजानगांव, ता० अंजानगांव जिला अमरावती।	—वही—
59.	—वही—	—वही—	खुराडे विल्लु किसन, बाहेगांव, ता० दरयापुर, जिला-अमरावती।	—वही—

1.	2.	3.	4.
60.	महाराष्ट्र विद्याल सभा के लिए माधारण निवाचिन 1995	118-वरयापुर	उनडाणे दिलीप महादेव, निवासी-पल्मेरेट, ता० प्रचलपुर। लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
61.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
62.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
63.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
64.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
65.	-वही-	120-प्रचलपुर	दादाराव जैसीराम कमालपुरे, मु० पो० शिवेल, वाई न० ५, तालुक चन्दूर बाजार।
66.	वही-	-वही-	-वही-
67.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
68.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
69.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
70.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
71.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
72.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
73.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-
74.	-वही-	-वही-	-वही-

1	2	3	4	5
75.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निवारी अन, 1995	124-मोरशी	उद्देश रामजी महाजन, मु० रायला, प००-शतनूर, नालुक-वरुद, महाराष्ट्र	निर्धारित बयां का कोई भी नेहा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
76.	—वही—	—वही—	पाठीले लीकाधर गोपालराव, मु० प०० वसद, वरुद वार्ड नं 4, तालुक वरुद, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
77.	—वही—	—वही—	दीपक महावेदराव सालमे, मु० भेमदी, प०० गावठनकुड, ताठ वरुद, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
78.	—वही—	—वही—	मनोहर भगवानजी ब्रमहाने, मु० प००-करगांव (गांधीपर), ताठ वरुद, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
79.	—वही—	—वही—	लन्डे अनिल मामवेथ, मु० प०० सेमभुखेडा, ताठ वरुद, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
80.	—वही—	122-तेओसा	अब्दल हमीद मोखगनी, ममसूरी, म० व प०० खानपुर, ताठ मोरशी, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
81.	—वही—	—वही—	किसनगोप ल भीकमचव तवारी, मु० प००-राजूरकाही, ताठ मोरशी महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
82.	—वही—	—वही—	बाडम महादेव दोमाजी, मु० फसेपुर, प०० शिवनगांव, ताठ नेओसा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
83.	—वही—	122-तेओसा	पाडे सुखदेव नामदेव राव, मु.प०. नेरिंगलाई, ता. मोरशी, महाराष्ट्र	—वही—
84.	—वही—	—वही—	बाकेराव बाजीराव गोरडे, मु.प०. श्रोता, ता. नेओसा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
85.	—वही—	—वही—	एडवो. मेशराम लालचन्द बंदूजी, मु.प०. बेलोरा, ता. चन्दूर बाजार, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
86.	—वही—	—वही—	लोकान्डे अरविन्द के वरावजी, मु. व प०. अदगाव, ता. मोरशी, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
87.	—वही—	—वही—	मंगाळे नानासाहेब गणपत, मु. प०. -नेरिंगलाई, तालुक—मोरशी, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—

1

2

3

4

5

88.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण विवरिति, 1995	122—तेओमा	मोहोद मजय शेंगावळ, मृ. व पौ. कातपुर सु. व पौ. कातपुर (मध्दापुर) ता. मोरशी, महाराष्ट्र ।	निर्वाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे ।
89.	--वही--	123—वलगांव	पन्द्रावाते अशोक काणीनाथ मृ.—रामपुरा, पौ.—कोकाराडा, ता.—अंजनगांव, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
90.	--वही--	--वही--	विजय मनोहर उताने, खापेरडे बागीचम, अमर वती, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
91.	--वही--	--वही--	र जाभऊ भड्गव मोहेदे, मृ. व पौ. —हरताला, ता.—भट्टखिल, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
92.	--वही--	--वही--	दयानेश्वर पांडुरंग धरमाले, मृ. व पौ.—यावली ) ( शाहिद ), ता. व जिला—अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
93.	--वही--	124—अमरावती	अबरार हम्मन अरणी अबदुल मस्तान, हवीब नगर, अमरावती (महाराष्ट्र)	--वही--
4.	--वही--	--वही--	जुन्धारे सुधीर श्रीकृष्ण, खोलापुरी गेट, अमरावती महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
95.	--वही--	--वही--	धोते रवि शालिकराम, नमता, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
96.	--वही--	--वही--	पाटिल राजिन्द्र शिवचरण, हमलपुरा, अमरावती महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
97.	--वही--	--वही--	बेहारे मनोहर राजाराम, बालाजी प्लाट, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
98.	--वही--	--वही--	देशमुख अर्णिल चन्द्रकल्पा, मोतीनगर, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--
99.	--वही--	125—बडनेरा	अशोक कुमार आनन्दराव गजभिमे, न्यू बस्ती, पंचशील नगर, बडनेरा, महाराष्ट्र ।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
100.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	124—अमरावती	अम्बेडकर मनोहर माधव, “भैरवी”, सकिमणी नगर, तिवेणी मंगल के सामने, कायरालिय, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
101.	—वही—	—वही—	केशवस कुमु मठ रामेश्वर, ओल्ड बस्टी, माता फोहल, बड़नेरा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
102.	—वही—	—वही—	जयदेव प्रभुजी राजत, मु. पो. रहटगांव, ता. व जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
103.	—वही—	—वही—	जुनधारे रामदास विश्वनाथ, शिव-कृपा मंगल कायरालिय के पास, श्रद्धानगर, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
104.	—वही—	—वही—	तिकेकर राजू हरलाल, मु. व पो. निम्भा, ना. भटकुली, जिला अमरावती महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
105.	—वही—	—वही—	तेमभारे अनिल पुणजी, राठोर गांव, गाडगे नगर, पुमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
106.	—वही—	125—बड़नेरा	दहाके नितिन विरेन्द्र, शकुन्तल कालोनी, बीएमबी रोड अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
107.	—वही—	—वही—	धापे प्रबोंग पंजाबराव, म. व पो. मंडरीमहलास्ला, ता. नन्दगांव खण्डेश्वर, जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
108.	—वही—	—वही—	धेमरे प्रदीप भीमरावजी, मु. व पो. संजय गांधी नगर, नं. 2, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
109.	—वही—	—वही—	मारोटी दत्तूजी नेवारे, मु. व पो. सलोड कस्बा, ता. नन्दगांव खास जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
110.	—वही—	—वही—	रामटेके गिरीश भोलानाथ, मिल बाल नबो बस्टी, बड़नेरा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
111.	—वही—	—वही—	सरदार रामदास दोमाजी, मु.पो. भनखेडा खुर्द जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
112.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्बन्धित नियर्चन, 1995	125-बडनेरा	सोलान्के गुलाब विश्वाम यवतमाल रोड़, नवी बस्ती बडनेरा, जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे ।
113.	—वही—	—वही—	शृंगारे किशोर बालकृष्ण, मु. लाकडांज, नवी बस्ती, बाडनेरा, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
114.	—वही—	—वही—	धेते हरीवास गोपाल, मु. शेलगुड़, पो. थानोरा फासी, ता. नन्दगांव खा, जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
115.	—वही—	126-चन्दूर	देवीदास चिन्धुजी नसाने, स्थान-पो. -किरन नगर, ताभाने गुरुजी का मकान, तालुक व जिला अमरावती महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
116.	—वही—	—वही—	बजाज नन्दकिशर सुन्दरलाल, बाई नं. 3, कुरहा रोड़, चन्दूर रेलवे जिला अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
117.	—वही—	127-अरबी	उइके बापूरावजी बीरमल, स्थान-खानवाडी, तह. -अरबी, जिला-वधा महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
118.	—वही—	—वही—	गोमकाले महादेव पाण्डुरंग, स्थान-परसोडी. सहस्रील-करंजा, जिला-वधा, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
119.	—वही—	—वही—	टोकसे पंजाब गानूजी, बाल्मीकी बाणी, अरबी, तह. -अरबी, जिला वधा, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
120.	—वही—	—वही—	पाटिल स्पराव भारोती, स्थान-मारदा, तह. -तेशोसा, जिला-अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—
121.	—वही—	128-पुलगांव	थुरबाल शंकरसिंह उत्तमसिंह, स्थान, पोस्ट-बोरगांव (मेघे) । तह. व जिला-वधा, महाराष्ट्र ।	—वही—

1 2

3

4

5

122.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के साधारण निर्बाचन, 1995	पुलगांव	नन्दकुमार पशालाल भट्टाचारा, दयालनगर, वार्ड नं. 28, पुलगांव, तह.—देवली, जिला—वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्बाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखने दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
123.	—वही—	—वही—	येन्दे विजय अग्रवाल, स्थान—ताम्भा (वाई), पोस्ट—विजयगोदा तह.—देवली जिला—वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
124.	—वही—	—वही—	लोधण्डे दयानेश्वर चिरकुटराव, मन. 52, स्थान—काम्ही, पोस्ट—कासरखेड तह. व जिला वर्धा महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
125.	—वही—	—वही—	मधुकर श्रीपतराव कुमारे, स्थान—रत्नापुर, पोस्ट—भीडी, तह.—देवली जिला—वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
126.	—वही—	129 वही	पठान पीरमोहम्मद अब्दुल्ला, बचेओलर रोड, गांधीनगर, वार्ड नं. 4, वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
127.	—वही—	—वही—	बालकृष्ण नत्यजी जादे, मालगुजारीपुरा, वार्ड नं. 25, निकट विठ्ठल मन्दिर, वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
128.	—वही—	—वही—	भोस्कर प्रमोद देवीदास, रामनगर, भगतसिंह चौक, वार्ड नं. 29, वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
129.	—वही—	—वही—	मांडवीय नानालाल मगनलाल, वार्ड नं. 11, वर्धा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
130.	—वही—	130—हिंगनवाट	राधादाटो प्रभाताई सूरेशराव, स्वामी विवेकानंद वाई, हिंगनवाट, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
131.	—वही—	—वही—	सूर्यवंशी शनिदेव दाजीबा, वासी, ता.—समुद्रपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
132.	—वही—	131—उमरेर	पवार गणेश येचाया, निवासी राजुलबाई, पो.—उदासा, तह. उमरेर, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
133.	महाराष्ट्र विद्यान मंत्री के लिए २१। इण्डियन निवेचन,	131—उमरेर	पांडुरंग पुण्डलीक पात्रोनिकार निवासी वार्ड नं. ३२, जूना मोटर स्टेंड, जवरेर, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र	निवेचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखादाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
134.	--वही--	--वही--	शामराव दोमा देशमुख, निवासी/बेलमाकरा पोस्ट—उदासा, तह—उमरेर, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
135	--वही--	--वही--	योवे पाण्डुरंग मुकुन्दराव, वार्ड नं. ७, माडल मिल्स गमाजी की बाडी, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
136.	--वही--	--वही--	धनरे कमलाकर नाथजी निवासी सिरसी (नवलगांव) तह—कुही, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
137.	--वही--	--वही--	मन जनदिन गुलाबराव, स्लाट नं. ७९३, नागमेनवान, बिनाकी रोड, नागपुर—१७, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
138.	--वही--	132—कम्पटी	अग्रबाल कान्तोप्रसाद लक्ष्मीचन्द, लाला ओली, कम्पथी, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
139.	--वही--	--वही--	कुवें सिद्धार्थ आसाराम, स्थान पोस्ट—पाचगांव, तहभी—उमरेर, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
140.	--वही--	--वही--	तेलेकर गजानन गोविंदा, कुही, तहसील—कुही, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
141.	--वही--	--वही--	प्रकाश लक्ष्मण वानेंद्र, गोटाळपन्जरी, पोस्ट—पिम्पला, तहसील व जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
142	--वही--	--वही--	बागदे श्रवण किसान महाकुला, पोस्ट—कारोही कालोनी, तहसील—कम्पकी, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
143.	--वही--	--वही--	वात्रनकुले दुलीचन्द खुमानरा खसरा जूना, तह—कम्पटी, जिला—नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
144.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	132-कम्पटी	महफूज-उर-ग्हमान इलाही बक्शा, निवाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे। वाडं नं. 47, कम्पटी, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	
145.	--वही--	--वही--	मोहम्मद मिहीकी मोहम्मद, हुसैन, इस्माइलपुरा, वार्ड नं. 36, कम्पटी जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
146.	--वही--	--वही--	रेवातकर मधुकर कृष्णाराव, राहाते कालोनी, उधोजी भवन, जेल रोड, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
147.	--वही--	--वही--	शोभा देवी श्यामराव मनतावकार, गोयल टाकीज रोड, कम्पटी, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
148.	--वही--	133-नागपुर उत्तर (अ. जा.)	अनश्याम रामाजी माल्वे, स्थान व डाक-मंगस्ट, तहसील भीवापुर, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
149.	--वही--	--वही--	आनोरकर रामरतन जालिम, डा. अम्बेडकर रोड, पंचपावली, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
150.	--वही--	--वही--	नितनाथरे नामसेन पुनाराम, पंचशीलनगर, डाकघर-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
151.	--वही--	--वही--	पाण्डुरंग श्रवणजी हिंवराळे, वी. नं.-82/982. हुड्को कालोनी, पो. ओ. -जरीपटका, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
152.	--वही--	--वही--	बोरकर महेन्द्र जेरामजी, 51, निस्तल कालोनी, नारा रोड, नागपुर-14, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
153.	--वही--	135-नागपुर पूर्व	प्रमोद नत्यूजी मनमोहे, 123/बी, शिवानगर, नागपुर-9, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
154.	--वही--	--वही--	येनुरकर विष्णु केशवराव, इतधारी नेहस पुताला, निकट रायाली, मन्दिर, नागपुर-2, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
155.	--वही--	--वही--	राजेण वापुरावजी काकाडे, नागेश्वरी गली नं. 2, जलालपुरा, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
156.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिये साधारण नियंत्रित, 1995	135-नागपुर दक्षिण	श्रीवाल कमल प्रत्हादाराय, मेन रोड, नन्दनवन, प्लाट नं. 197, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	नियंत्रित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
157.	--वही--	--वही--	श्रीण बाडीकर, 397- हनुमान नगर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
158.	--वही--	--वही--	कुर्व तलशीराम चन्द्रभान, हनुमान नगर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
159.	--वही--	--वही--	खण्डलवाल सुनील वृजमोहन, रेशम बाग चौक, वाडं नं. 10, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
160.	--वही--	--वही--	देवीदास रामराव देणमुख, 151, बालाजीनगर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
161.	--वही--	--वही--	रतन परमराम खोबरागडे, इन्दिरा नगर, जट्टारोडी, नागपुर हमाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
162.	--वही--	--वही--	बासु नरेन्द्र बासु, 175-हनुमान नगर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
163.	--वही--	--वही--	हजारे श्वीष चन्द्रभान, चन्द्रनगर, भगवान नगर, दाखिल करने में असफल रहे। नागपुर-27, महाराष्ट्र।	नियंत्रित व्ययों का चन्द्रनगर, भगवान नगर, दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
164.	--वही--	--वही--	हांडे राजेश रामचन्द्र राव, टुकडोजी नगर, प्लाट नं. 237, पो. -जवाहर नगर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
165.	--वही--	--वही--	राउत पुरुषोत्तम भाऊराव, 232, टुकडोजी नगर, मानेवाडा रोड, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
166.	--वही--	136-नागपुर केन्द्रीय	अब्दुल अजोज अब्दुल शहुर, “रंग नयाला”, क्यालिटी बिलिंग, दितीय फ्लोर गंजाक्ते भन्डारा रोड, नागपुर-18, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
167.	--वही--	--वही--	जेमवाल आनंद रतनलाल, हंसपुरी, भन्डारा रोड, नागपुर-महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
168.	--वही--	--वही--	प्रमोद माईतराक्जी, चाहान्डे, भानेडा, वाडं नं. 62, पो. -मोमिनपुरा पुलिस स्टेशन तहसील, नागपुर-18, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1

2

3

4

5

169.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के 136-नागपुर केलीय लिये माध्यारथ निर्वाचन,	पुण्यताक बाबा द्वान अब्दुल निवाचन व्यवों का दोई सी लेखा मनार द्वान, भुंगेत लाइवेंटी दापिन करने में प्रयत्न रहे। शेटीन के पास, मोर्मिनपुरा, नागपुर-महाराष्ट्र।
170.	--वही--	--वही--
171.	--वही--	--विजयसिंह परिहार गंजोपेठ, फायर किंगड के पास, नागपुर-18 महाराष्ट्र।
172.	--वही--	--विजयसिंह कुंजविहारी, हंसापुरी, भण्डारा रोड, नागपुर महाराष्ट्र।
173.	--वही--	--हिफजुल कबीर सुपत्र हिफजुल करीम, ढोटा लोहारपुरा मस्जिद के पास, केन्द्रीय एवेन्यु बैक रोड, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।
174.	--वही--	--हेडाऊ हेमशज गणपति रमभाजी रोड, बागल का श्रवांचा, नागपुर-18, महाराष्ट्र।
175.	--वही--	--खान्दाते गन्तोप मारोनि, निवासी-चिम्नाजारी, पो.-बोर्येंद्री (रेलवे स्टेशन), तहसील नागपुर (आर), जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।
176.	--वही--	--निकोसेशंकर नत्थजी, निवासी-पिपला (कीनदेंडे), तहसील-कलमेश्वर, जिला नामिक, महाराष्ट्र।
177.	--वही--	--पालीबाल बालाकीसन सोपराज, निवासी कोंधाली, तहसील-कटोल, जिला नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।
178.	--वही--	--राजेश बापूरावजी काकडे, निवासी-नागोबा गली नं. 2, जलालपुरा नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।
179.	--वही--	--बरहाटे सरेश शंकर, निवासी कनदोलीबाडा, तहसील-टीगना, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।
180.	--वही--	--तालातुले प्रेमनाथ पंजाबरावजी, निवासी-रिधोरा, तहसील कटोल, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।

1	2	3	4	5
181.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के १३९-कटोल लिये साधारण निर्वाचन, १९९५	पांचाभाई बाबू रामराव, १८/४, एम प्राईजी कालोनी, दाखिल करने में प्रशफस रहे। त्रिमूर्ति नगर, नागपर, महाराष्ट्र।	नवीन व्यर्यों का कोई सी लेखा वाडिवे उकन्दराव अंगर्जी, स्थान व पो. -मोहदी (दाल्वी), तह. -नरखेड, जिला-नागपर, महा.	
182.	--वही--	--वही--	वाडिवे उकन्दराव अंगर्जी, स्थान व पो. -मोहदी (दाल्वी), तह. -नरखेड, जिला-नागपर, महा.	--वही--
183.	--वही--	140-साओनेर	गुलाबराव विश्वन कोलहे, वालनी माइन्स, तह. -साओनेर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
184.	--वही--	--वही--	प्रकाश मारुतराव लोनेरे २४३, नंदनवत कालोनी, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
185.	--वही--	--वही--	रघुवंशी घरणदास किसानसिंह, वार्ड नं. १२, खापा, तह. साओनेर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
186.	--वही--	--वही--	संजय शंकरराव धोले, कोडेगांव, तह. साओनेर, महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
187.	--वही--	--वही--	ध्यानेश्वर भास्कर सारेडे, टे मुरडोह, तह. -साओनेर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
188.	--वही--	141-रामटेक	कालरा विजयकुमार रेमलदास, मु. -जवाहर नगर, पो. कनहन (पिपरी), तह. परसेवनी, जिला नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
189.	--वही--	--वही--	शिंगडे कचाह फकीरा, मु. व पो. -कोडामेहवी तह. मोडा, जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
190.	--वही--	145-तिसेरा (अ. जा.)	कौशिक प्रभात तुकाराव, रमाबाई थार्ड (प्रम्बाटोली), गोडिया, मु. -पो. -गोडिया, तह. गोडिया, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
191.	--वही--	--वही--	भालाधारे काशीराम रुदा, मु. व पो. -गंगाजारी, तह. गोडिया, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
192.	--वही--	--वही--	रामटेक नन्दलाल अभिमान, मु. व पो. -इकोदी (रामपुरी) तह. गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
193.	--वही--	--१४६--	वाघमारे संजय आमभार, विहिरगांव, पो. -सरांडी, तह. तिरोरा, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
194.	डहाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	145 तिरोरा (अ.जा.)	शाहारे दिवाकर जानू, मु., पो. पारसवाडा, तह. तिरोरा, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र	निर्वाचित धर्यों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
195.	—वही—	—वही—	थम्मा प्रलहाद राहुलकर, शहीद मिश्रा वार्ड, तिरोरा, तह. तिरोरा, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र	—वही—
196.	—वही—	—वही—	मखारे अरविन्द ताराचन्द, 23/1, प्रियदर्शनी कालोनी, सिविल लाईन, नागपुर, तह और जिला-नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
197.	—वही—	146—गोडिया	उपवंशी यादोराव गोडिलाल, मु. व. पो. नागरा, तह. गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
198.	—वही—	—वही—	नन्देश्वर मनोहर मायाराम, जससनी वार्ड, रामनगर वार्ड, गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र	—वही—
199.	—वही—	—वही—	पाल मातादीन रामलाल, माताठोली, म्युनिसिपल हाई स्कूल के पास, राजेन्द्र वार्ड, गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
200.	—वही—	—वही—	भारद्वाज मुन्ना बुधरामसिंह, महात्मा फुले वार्ड, गड्ढा टोली, गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
201.	—वही—	—वही—	येरपुडे दमोदर किशोर, आजाद वार्ड, जूनी बस्ती, तिरोरा, तालुक-तिरोरा, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
202.	—वही—	—वही—	शरणागत शामलाल इसराम, मु. पो. वगपुरा (मुरली) तालुक-गोडिया, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
203.	—वही—	—वही—	शेनगर प्रदीप सरवारसिंह, शिवाजी वार्ड गोडिया, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
204.	—वही—	—वही—	सैयद क्यामुदीन सैयद नूर, 131, मुकर्जी वार्ड, रामनगर, गोडिया, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	—वही—
205.	—वही—	—वही—	मौजीलाल दालूजी भगत, मु. अर्जुनी, पो. रावनवाडी तालुक-गोडिया, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	रीति द्वारा लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
206.	—वही—	148—प्रमगांव	सद्गारे नदकिशोर चैतराम, मुरकुडे ह, तह—सालेकासा, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्वाचित धर्यों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।

1	2	3	4	5
207.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के निए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1995	150—लखनदुर	फुडे महादेव लक्ष्मण, मु. करान्डला, पो. -विरली (बी.के.) तह. लखनदुर, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
208.	--वही--	--वही--	शत्रुंकर अविनाश आनन्द, मु. सालाबारडी, पो. डिघोरी (मोर्यी), तह. अर्जुनी मोरेगांव, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
209.	--वही--	--वही--	राठोड दुर्गा भैया उर्फ घनसिंह उमेदसिंह, मु. व पो. सोनी, तह. - लखनदुर, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
210.	--वही--	--वही--	रामटेक भाऊ, मु., पो. व तह. लखनदुर, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
211.	--वही--	--वही--	लोनारे अभिमान गणेश, मु. व पो. इटखेडा, तह. अर्जुनी मोरेगांव, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
212.	--वही--	--वही--	सलामे महादेव सावजी, मु. अम्भाली/पोरला, पो. वाडेगांव/निपान्या तह. अर्जुनी/मोरेगांव, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
213.	--वही--	176—गंगाखेड (अ. जा. )	गाडेकर शान्ताबाई शंकरराव,। निवासी खुलेवाडी, पो. रावराजूर, तालुक-पल्लम, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
214.	--वही--	--वही--	सावले लालू दामाजी, निवासी व पो. रावराजूर, तालुक-पल्लम, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
215.	--वही--	200—चौसाला	सदाशिव केरवा पंसारे, स्थान—ग्रामिलवाडगांव, डाकघर—सतारा पोथरा, तालुक व जिला-बीड, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
216.	--वही--	--वही--	थोराट धानाजीराव धासराव, स्थान व डाकघर—चौसाला, तालुक व जिला-बीड, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
217.	--वही--	--वही--	मोहन निरवति कोकाटे,। स्थान—एकुरका, डाकघर—सरने (एस), ता. कैज, जिला-बीड, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
218.	--वही--	--वही--	वीर भगवान लाहानजी, स्थान व डाकघर माजारंबा, ता. नीड, जिला बीड, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1.	2	3	4	5
219.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के सियं सांवारण निर्वाचन, 1995	137—नागपुर पश्चिम	शुक्ला नरेन्द्र प्रसाद देवीप्रसाद, स्थान व डाकघर—कटवथपुरा; किले—धारूर, ता. धारूर, जिला बीड़, महाराष्ट्र।	निवाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा। दाखिल करने में कृतफल रहे।
220.	--वही--	--वही--	अग्रवाल कृष्णकांत जीवराज, 428, सदर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
221.	--वही--	--वही--	प्रसुण प्रथमराव जोशी, श्रीराम मंदिर, तिळक रोड, महल, नागपुर महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
222.	--वही--	--वही--	सौ. आसाबाई जानू सिंगू मारे (मासाराम); गांधी नगर, पूनिवसिटी, लेवीज होस्टल क्वार्टर, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
223.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री कुमार रामसेवक, योगेन्द्र नगर, काटोल रोड, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
224.	--वही--	--वही--	नेवारी उदय श्रीष्ठराव; प्लाट नं. 55, मकान नं. ४९, गोपाल नगर, गोराले लै-आउट, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
225.	--वही--	--वही--	पवार पाण्युरंग रामचन्द्रा जी, ९७, फँकर नगर, ललिता बिघायत केन्द्र से, नागपुर महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
226.	--वही--	--वही--	मौ. नानाजी मारोतरावजी, पेनसे न नगर, मकान नं. १०४, वांड नं. ४१, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
227.	--वही--	--वही--	यु. वानाते चिन्तामन काशीराम, माकरथोकाडा, काटोल रोड, मकान नं. २४, नागपुर महाराष्ट्र	--वही--
228.	--वही--	--वही--	रामदास राजे राम दिवारे, गोधानी, रेलवे स्टेशन, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
229.	--वही--	--वही--	विहृ इन्ड्रेसेन शिवकुमार शनुराग भवन, अनंत नगर, काटोल रोड, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
230.	--वही--	142—तुमसर	अग्रवाल राम सत्यनारायणजी, १३३/१, राजेन्द्र नगर, तुमसर, जिला—भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

1

2

3

4

5

231.	महाराष्ट्र विधान सभा के लिये साम्राज्य निवाचन, 1995	142-तुमसर	भगवान सत्यनारायण शोविंग प्रसाद, स्थान व डाक-घोषी, तह. तुमसर, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	निर्वाचन व्यवों का कोई घोषणा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे।
232.	--वही--	--वही--	बैधुनि यादोराव भावोराव, स्थान-नेहरनगर, तुमसर, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
233.	--वही--	--वही--	गरवे सुरेश बाडीशराव, स्थान-नवगांव, डाकघर-उमरवाडा, तह. तुमसर, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
234.	--वही--	--वही--	नानापी महावेद संसोकर, स्थान बाबनी, डाकघर, भावी, तह. तुमसर, जिला भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
235.	--वही--	--वही--	मिथा सुर्द्धन नवलकिंदोर, स्थान-सतबोरी छोक, सिविल लाइन, गोडिया, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही-भ
236.	--वही--	--वही--	राजत लाखाराम उम्हु, स्थान-डाकघर जाम्ब, तह. बोहादी, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
237.	--वही--	--वही--	राजत सुखवेद बापची, स्थान-रविन्द्रनाथ टेंगोर वाडी, भण्डारा, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
238.	--वही--	--वही--	वट किंदोर, सीतारामची, स्थान-नेहरू नगर, तुमसर, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--
239.	--वही--	--वही--	संगे कामराज रामा, स्थान-पोनार खारी, डाकघर-गोबारवाही, तह. तुमसर, जिला-भण्डारा, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही-भ
240.	--वही--	151-प्रमोरी(प्र.ज.जा.)	पेण्ठम पारसराम गंगारुची, फूसे वार्ड देसाईनंज, ता. देसाईनंज, जिला-गाडीचोली, महाराष्ट्र।	--वही--

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997.

O.N. 144.—Whereas, the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidate specified in column 4 of the Table below at the General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly specified in column 2 and held from the constituency specified in column 3 against his/her name has failed to lodge the account of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column 4 of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either house of Parliament or the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State or Union Territory for a period of three years from the date of this order:—

TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of elections	Sl. No. & Name of Assembly Constituency	Name & Address of the contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
1.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	2-Vengurla	Sh. Kadam Niranjan Dhaku, Nadkarni Chawl, Kankawali, Taluka Kanakwali, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
2.	-do-	4-Devgad	Dr. Warik Sudhir Ganapat, D-18, Radhakrishnan Nagar, Jarimari Kurla (E), Mumbai No. 72, Maharashtra.	-do-
3.	-do-	5-Rajapur	Pawar Bhagaji Hari, At, Kativale, P.O. Kondye, Tal. Rajapur, Maharashtra.	-do-
4.	-do-	7-Sangmeshwar	Kazi Riyaz Usman alias, M.R. Kazi, At, Satavali Bunder, Tal. Lanja, Maharashtra.	-do-
5.	-do-	-do-	Ramakant Shivaram Kadam, At, Poor P.O. Patagav Via Devarukh, Tal. Sangmeshwar, Maharashtra.	-do-
6.	-do-	-do-	Kalkar Narayan Keshav, At, P.O. Kasba Sangmeshwar, Tal. Sangmeshwar, Maharashtra.	-do-
7.	-do-	-do-	Prof. R. G. Joshi, At P.O. Kasba, Tal. Sangmeshwar, Maharashtra.	-do-
8.	-do-	18-Khalapur	Shinde Dataji Anant, House No. 436/ A, Patil Ali, Shivaji Chowk, Karjat (Raigad), Maharashtra.	-do-
9.	-do-	20-Umarkhadi	Ansari Mohd. Kasam Ismail, 2/18, Surmewali Chawl, Ground Floor, Room No. 6, Imamwada Road, Opp. Asha Sadan, Mumbai-400 009, Maharashtra.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
10.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	20-Umatkhadi	Nawab Syed Mohammed, B/1, Neo Vikram Sahakar Nagar, J.P. Road, Andheri (West), Mumbai-400058, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
11.	-do-	22-Khetwadi	Abdul Hamid Shaikh, 27/A/30, 1st Floor, Umarnath & Sons, Dadlu Rangari Street, Maharashtra. (Mumbai-9)	-do-
12.	-do-	-do-	Jain Hukmichand Alis, Dhirajbhai Kundanwal, 17, Bhagat Bldg., 1/15-16, K. M. Zaveri Marg, Mumbai. Maharashtra.	-do-
13.	-do-	-do-	Sayyed Mohd. Baqar, 275-279, Famous Eng. Works, Umar Jamal Compound, Belasis Road, Mumbai-8, Maharashtra.	-do-
14.	-do-	24-Malbar Hill	Daftary Jivanlal Girdharilal, 202-A, Wingh Chandanbala Appartment, R.R. Thakker Marg, Walkeshwar, Mumbai-6, Maharashtra.	-do-
15.	-do-	25-Chinchpokali	Aosari Hajji Nisar Ahmed, 4/3, B.I.T. Chawl, 1st Floor, Mominpura, M.S. Ansari Marg, Mumbai-11, Maharashtra.	-do-
16.	-do-	-do-	Khan Mehd, Shabir Mohd Nasir Hussain. Mansur Building 198, 2nd Floor, M.G. Rajjib Road, Mumbai-8, Maharashtra.	-do-
17.	-do-	-do-	Suryakant Laxman Naik, Matoshri Bhavan, 119, Mhatar Pakhadi, Tank Square Mazgaon, Mumbai-10, Maharashtra.	-do-
18.	-do-	26-Nagapada	John Francis Dias, 402-A, Sidharth I.C. Colony, Borivali, (W), Mumbai-3, Maharashtra.	-do-
19.	-do-	28-Parcl	Chandrakant Mahadeo Jadhav, Abhyuday Nagar, Building, No. 15/1048 Kalachawki, Mumbai-33, Maharashtra.	-do-
20.	-do-	-do-	Dilip Sandipan Jankar, Ganeshnagar Huments, Sakharam Lanjekar Marg South, Maharashtra.	-do-
21.	-do-	-do-	Sadashiv Vasant Parab, Bawla Building No. 2, Room No. 4, Dattaram Land Marg, Mumbai-12, Maharashtra.	-do-
22.	-do-	-do-	Dr. Satyawan Bhau Nandoskar, 4-A-Rameshwari, Rameshwari Coop. Housing Society Patel, Mumbni-12, Maharashtra.	-do-
23.	-do-	33-Matunga	Khan Tayyabkhan Matalbhkan, Rm. No. 446, Sangam Nagar, Azad Mohalla, Antop Hill, Mumbai-37, Maharashtra.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
24.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	33-Matunga	Thakkar Ashok Hariram, 207/8, Sadhana, Station Road, Wadala, Mumbai-31, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
25.	-do-	-do-	Rajan S.K. Kanan, 169/1524, C.G.S. Qrs. Sector-5, Antophill, Mumbai-37, Maharashtra.	-do-
26.	-do-	-do-	Shetty Manidamodar, Indira Nagar, Hutment, Hemant Manjrekar Marg, Sion Kaliwada-37, Maharashtra,	-do-
27.	-do-	-do-	Sonawane Govind Bhimaji, Dadasaheb Gaikawad Nagar, Bldg. No. E/6 35, Rawali Camp, Mumbai-37, Maharashtra.	-do-
28.	-do-	54-Belapur,	Gautamchand Jain, 215, Mahavir Apartment, B.P. Road, Bhalindar (E), Tal. Distt. Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-
29.	-do-	-do-	Jhakde Mahendra Raghunathrao, P.E.S. Educational Complex, (Staff Quarters) Sector-No. 1, C.B.D., (Belapur) New Mumbai, Tal. Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-
30.	-do-	-do-	Trivedi Rabindra Rammurti, Kamini Niwas, Pandurangwadi, Post- Mira, Tal. Distt. Thane., Maharashtra.	-do-
31.	-do-	-do-	Prakashbapu Piraji Madhale, Jankadevi Coop. Housing Society Building No. 31/991 Vartak Nagar, Thane, Tal Distt. Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-
32.	-do-	-co-	Adv. Shaikh Jamil R. Idrissi, 5/47 Artist Village Soc, 8.C.B.D. Korkan Bhavan, Tal. Distt. Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-
33.	-do-	55-Ulhasnagar	Ahuja Srichand Penjomal, Brk. No. 1092, O.T. Sec-Ulashnagar-3, Maharashtra.	-do-
34.	-do-	-do-	Gawali Kashinath Madhavdas, Mahatma Gandhinagar, B.No. 681, Ulashnagar-3, Maharashtra.	-do-
35.	-do-	-do-	Dudhani Ram Prabhudas, Block No. A-764/1328, Gandiroad, Ulashnagar-5, Maharashtra.	-do-
36.	-do-	-do-	Premchandani Motiram, Golomal, Brk. No. 1849, R. No. 20, Ulashnagar-5, Maharashtra	-do-
37.	-do-	-do-	Bathija Kamal Sonderdas, Brk No. 1084/15, O.T. Sec. Ulashnagar-4, Maharashtra.	-do-
38.	-do-	56-Ambernath	Gaikwad Shyam Ananda, 991, Paritosh, Chinchpada Ambernath, Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
39.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	56-Ambernath	Kumud Ramdas Bendale, Kranti Bhavan Ranjan Society, Kulgaon, Badlapur, Maharashtra.	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses.
40.	-do-	59-Wada (ST)	Desale Kashinath Ramchandra, At-Tilase, Post-Moj, Taluka-Wada, Distt. Thane, Maharashtra.	-do-
41.	-do-	102-Malkapur	Jadhav Rajendrasingh Julasingh, At-Post Takarkhedin Tq. Nandura, Dist. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
42.	-do-	-do-	Nafde Avinash Wamanrao, Late Wamanrao Nafde Chowk, Ward No. 4, Nandura, Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
43.	-do-	104-Chikhli	Jadhao Raju Dinkarrao, Ward No. 20, Chikhali, Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
44.	-do-	-do-	Tarmale Punaji Dagadu, At-Post Pimpalgaon Sarai, Tq. Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
45.	-do-	105-Sindhedraja	Khillare Ramdas Laxman, At-Pangrikate, P.O.- Wadagaonmali, Tq.-Sindhedraja, Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
46.	-do-	-do-	Tambekar Bhujangrao Yashwantrao, At-P.O.-Shionitaka, Tq-Sindhedraja, Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
47.	-do-	-do-	Rathod Pralhad Walu, At-Nashirabad, P.O. & Tq-Sindhedraja, Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
48.	-do-	-do-	Rathod Thaoraji Ragho, At-Pimparkhed, P.O. Adgaonraja, Tq-Sindhedraja, Disit. Buldana. Maharashtra.	-do-
49.	-do-	106-Mehkar	More Laxman Nirvuti, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Nagar, Mulund purva, Mumbai-81, Maharashtra.	-do-
50.	-do-	107-Khamgaon	Chavan Gopalsing Ramsingh, At-PO. Hingno Karellaon, Tq. Khamoadu, Distt. Buldana, Maharashtra.	-do-
51.	-do-	108-Jalamb	Patil Devidas, Parshuram, At. PO. Mamurabad, Tq. Distt. Jalgaon (Khandesh), Maharashtra.	-do-
52.	-do-	-do-	A. Salim A. Samed, Ward No. 18, At. PO. Tq. (Jamod), Julgaon, Distt. buldana. Maharashtra.	-do-
53.	-do-	112-Balapur	Rajaram Banduji Dakhare, At-Pacharam, Post-Chandi Tq. Patur, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
54.	General Election to Maha- rashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	12-Balapur	Salabatkhan Hafijulakhan, At & Post Kajipura Patur,	Failure to lodge any account of election expenses
55.	-do-	-do-	Atmaram Dyandeo Lakhade, At & Post Chatari, Tq. Patur,	-do-
56.	-do-	-do-	Prabhakar Pandurang Dhore, At & Post Chatari, Tq. Patur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge the account in the manner required by law.
57.	-do-	118-Daryapur	Tayde Arun Pandurang, At P.O. Banosa, Tq. Daryapur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
58.	-do-	-do-	Athawale Vishwasrao, Motiramji, Ward No. 6 Anjangaon, Tq. Anjangaon, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
59.	-do-	-do-	Khurade Vishnu Kisan, Bahegaon, Tq. Daryapur, Distt. Amravati.	-do-
60.	-do-	-do-	Dandage Dilip Mahadeo, R.o Pathret, Tq. Achalpur. Maharashtra.	-do-
61.	-do-	-do-	Babade Rajabhai Narayanrao, At-Rampura P.O. Kokarde, Tq. Anjangaon, Maharashtra.	-do-
62.	-do-	-do-	Bhamburkar Arun, Ramdaspant, Vihigaon BK., Tq. Anjangaon, Maharashtra.	-do-
63.	-do-	-do-	Raibole Bhaorao Abhiman, Nandrun Tq. Daryapur, Maharashtra.	-do-
64.	-do-	-do-	Raibole Ravindra Shriram, Karla, Tq. Anjangaon, Maharashtra.	-do-
65.	-do-	120-Achalpur	Dadarao Jaisiram Kamalapure, At Post Talwel Ward No. 4, Tq. Chandur Bz., Maharashtra.	-do-
66.	-do-	-do-	Nimkar Shankar Rajaram, At Post, Brahamanwada Thadi, Tq. Chandur Bz., Maharashtra.	-do-
67.	-do-	-do-	Nadatai Abhyankar, At Post Vihigaon Tq. Anjangaon, Maharashtra.	-do-
68.	-do-	-do-	Bhai Barde Bhimrao Zawaraji, Abdalpura Achalpur City, Maharashtra.	-do-
69.	-do-	-do-	Sailesh Jaideorao Meshram, At Post Chandur Bz. W. No. 5, Maharashtra.	-do-
70.	-do-	-do-	Sawarkar Intatai Narayanaro, At Post Chandur Bz. W. No. 24, Tq. Chandur Bz., Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
71.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	120-Achalpur	Suresh Uttamrao Ladole, At Post Shirajgaon K., Tq. Chandur Bz., Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
72.	-do-	-do-	Ghime Uttamrao Pandurangji, At Chausala, Post Rasegaon, Tq. Achalpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
73.	-do-	-do-	Manjare Ganesh Nagorao, At. Jawardi, Post-Bhugaon, Tq. Achalpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
74.	-do-	-do-	Sk. Amir Sk. Haidar, At. Post. Chikhaldara, W. No. 2, Tq. Chikhaldara, Maharashtra.	do-
75.	-do-	121-Morshi	Uikey Ramji Mahajan, At. Rawala, Post-Satpur, Tq. Warud, Maharashtra.	-do-
76.	-do-	-do-	Ghatole Leeladhar Gopalrao, At. Post Warud, Warud, Ward No. 4, Tq. Warud, Maharashtra.	-do-
77.	-do-	-do-	Dipak Mahadeorao Salame, At. Bhemdi Post Gaohankund, Tq. Warud, Maharashtra.	-do-
78.	-do-	-do-	Manohar Bhagwanji Bramhane, At. Post. Karajgaon (Gandhigarh), Tq. Warud, Maharashtra.	-do-
79.	-do-	-do-	Landge Anil Namdeo, At Post-Temburkheda, Tq. Warud, Maharashtra.	-do-
80.	-do-	122-Teosa	Abdul Hamid Sheekh Gani, Mansuri, At PO. Khanapur, Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	-do-
81.	-do-	-do-	Kisangopal Bhikamchandra Tawari, At. P.O. Rajurwadi, Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	-do-
82.	-do-	-do-	Khadse Mahadeo Domaji, At. Fattepur, PO. Shivangaon, Ta. Teosa, Maharashtra.	-do-
83.	-do-	-do-	Pande Sukdeo Namdeorao, At. Post. Nerpinglari, Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	-do-
84.	-do-	-do-	Bakerao Bajirao Gorde, At. P.O. Teosa, Ta. Teosa, Maharashtra.	-do-
85.	-do-	-do-	Adv. Meshram Lalchand Banduji, At. P.O. Belora, Ta. Chandur Bazar, Maharashtra.	-do-
86.	-do-	-do-	Lokhande Arvind Deoraoji, At. PO. Adgaon, Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	-do-
87.	-do-	-do-	Mangale Nanusaheb Ganpat, At P.O. Nerpinglai, Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
88.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	122—Teosa	Mohd Sanjay Sheshrao, At. P.O. Katpur. (Mamdapur), Ta. Morshi, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
89.	-do-	123—Walgaon	Pantawane Ashok Kashinath, At.—Rampura, Post—Kokarada, Taq.—Anjangaon, Maharashtra.	-do-
90.	-do-	-do-	Vijay Manohar Utane, Khaperde Bagicha, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
91.	-do-	-do-	Rajabhai Bhauraao Mhod, At & P.O.—Hartala, Tq.—Bhatkuli, Maharashtra.	-do-
92.	-do-	-do-	Dyaneshwra Pandurang Dharmale, At. & P.O.—Yawali (Shahid), Tq. & Distt.—Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
93.	-do-	124—Amravati	Abrar Hannan Arshi, Abdul Mannan, Habib Nagar, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
94.	-do-	-do-	Junghare Sudhir Shrikrishna, Kholapuri Gate, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
95.	-do-	-do-	Dhote Ravi Shalikram, Namuna, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
96.	-do-	-do-	Patil Rajendra Shilocharan, Hamal Pura, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
97.	-do-	-do-	Behare Manohar Rajaram. Balaji Plot, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
98.	-do-	-do-	Deshmukh Anil Chandrkant, Moti Nagar, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
99.	-do-	125—Badnera	Ashokkumar Anandrao Gajbhiye, New Basti, Panchasil Nagar, Badnera, Maharashtra.	-do-
100.	-do-	-do-	Ambadekar Manohar Madho, "Bhairavi" Rukhamini Nagar, In front of Triveni Mangal Karyalaya, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
101.	-do-	-do-	Kaithwas Kusum Rameshwar, Old Westi Mata foil, Bandera, Maharashtra.	-do-
102.	,do-	-do-	Jaideo Prabhuji Raut, At. Post Rahatgaon, Tq. & Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
103.	-do-	-do-	Junghare Ramdas Vishwananth, Near Shivkrupa Mangal Karyala. Sharda Nagar, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
104.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	125-Badnera	Tikekar Raju Harlal, At. Post, Nimbha, Tq. Bhatkuli, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
105.	-do-	-do-	Tembhare Anil Purnaji, Rathod Galli Gadge Nagar, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
106.	-do-	-do-	Dahake Nitin Virendra, Shankuntal Colony, V.M.V. Road, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
107.	-do-	-do-	Dhepe Piavin Panjabrao, At. Post, Manjri Mhasla, Tq. Nandgaon Khandeshwar, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
108.	-do-	-do-	Dhemre Pradip Bhimraoji, At. Post Sanjay Gandhi Nagar, No. 2, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
109.	-do-	-do-	Maroti Dattuji Neware, At. Post Salod Kasba, Tq. Nandgaon Kh. Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
110.	-do-	-do-	Ramteke Girish Bholanath, Mill Chall Navi Basti, Badnera, Maharashtra.	-do-
111.	-do-	-do-	Sardar Ramdas Domaji, At. Post Bhankheda Khurd, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
112.	-do-	-do-	Solanki Gulab Vishram, Yeotmal Road, Navi Basti, Badnera Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
113.	-do-	-do-	Shantigrarc Kishor Balkrushana At. Lakadgunj Navi Basti, Badnera, Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
114.	-do-	-do-	Dethe Haridas Gopal, At. Shelugund, Post Dhanora Fasi, Tq. Nandgaon Kh., Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
115.	-do-	126-Chandur	Devidas Chindhuji Nasane, At, P.O. -Kiran Nagar, Tabhane Guruji's House, Tq. & Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
116.	-do-	-do-	Bajaj Nandkishor Sundarlal, Ward No. 3, Kurha Road, Chandur Rly., Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
117.	-do-	127-Arvi	Uike Bapuraoji Birmal, At. Khanwadi, Tah. Arvi, Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
118.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	127. Arvi	Gomkale Mahadeo Pandurang, At. Parsodi, Tahsil Karanja, Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
119.	-do-	-do-	Tokse Panjab Ganuji, Walmik mard, Arvi, Tah. Arvi Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
120.	-do-	-do-	Patil Ruprao Maroti, At. Marda, Tahsil Tio, 1, Distt. Amravati, Maharashtra.	-do-
121.	-do-	128-Pulgaon	Thurwal Shankarsing Uttamsing, At. Post Borgaon (Meghe), Tah. & Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
122.	-do-	-do-	Nandkumar Pannalal Bhutada , Dayalnagar, Ward, No. 28, Pulgaon, Tah. Deoli, Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
123.	-do-	-do-	Yende Vijay Ajabrao, At. Tambha (Y), Post Vijaygopal, Tah. Deoli, Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
124.	-do-	-do-	Lokhande Dnyaneshwar Chirkutrao, House No. 52, At. Kamthi, Post Kasarkheda, Tah. & Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
125.	-do-	128-Pulgaon	Madhukar Shripattrao Kumre, At. Ratnapur, Post Bhidi, Tah. Dooli, Distt. Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
126.	-do-	129-Wardha	Pathan Pirmohammad Abdula, Bacholar Road, Gandhi Nagar, Ward No. 4, Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
127.	-do-	-do-	Balkrishna Natthuji Zade, Malgujaripura, Ward No. 25, Near Vitthal Mandir, Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
128.	-do-	-do-	Bhoskar Pramod Devidas, Ramnagar, Bhagatsing Chowk, Ward No. 29, Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
129.	-do-	-do-	Mandviya Nanalal Maganalal, Ward No. 11, Wardha, Maharashtra.	-do-
130.	-do-	130-Hinganghat	Raghatare Prabhatai Sureshrao, Swami Vivekanand Ward, Hinganghat, Maharashtra.	-do-
131.	-do-	-do-	Suryavanshi Shanideo Dajiba, Wasi, Tq. Samudrapur, Maharashtra.	-do-
132.	-do-	131-Umred	Pawar Ganesh Yenchaya, R/o Rajulwadi, P.O. Ydasa, Tah. Umred, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
133.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	131-Umred	Pandurang Pundlik Paonikar, R/o Ward No. 32, Juna Motor Stand, Umred, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
134.	-do-	-do-	Shamrao Doma Deshmukh, R/o Welsakara, Post Udasa, Tahsil-Umred, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
135.	-do-	-do-	Shebe Randurang Mukundrao, Ward No. 7, Model Mills, Famaji's Wadi, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
136.	-do-	-do-	Dhnare Kamlakar Nathuji, R/o Sirsee (Navegaon), Th. Kuhi, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
137.	-do-	-do-	Mun Janardhan Gulabrao, Plot No. 791, Nagsenwan, Binaki Road, Nagpur-17, Maharashtra.	-do-
138.	-do-	132-Kamptee	Agrawal Kantoprasad Laxmichand, Lala Oli, Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
139.	-do-	-do-	Kurve Sidharth Asaram, At. Post Pachgaon, Tah. Umred, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
140.	-do-	-do-	Telekar Gajnan Govinda, Kubi, Tashil Kuhi, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
141.	-do-	-do-	Prakash Laxman Wankhede, Gotadpanjri, Post Pimpla, Tah. & Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
142.	-do-	-do-	Bagde Shrawan Kisan, Mahadulla, Post Koradi Colony, Tahsil Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
143.	-do-	-do-	Bawankule Dulichand Khumanrao, Khasara Juna, Tahsil Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
144.	-do-	-do-	Mahafooz-Ur-Rahman Elahi Baksh, Darjipura, Naya Bazar, Ward No. 47, Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
145.	-do-	-do-	Mohammad Sadique Mohammad Hussain, Ismailpura, Ward, No. 36, Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
146.	-do-	-do-	Rewatkar Madhukar Krushnarao, Rahate Colony, Udhoji Bhawan, Jail Road, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
147.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	132-Kamptee	Shobhadevi Syhamrao Manwatkar, Goyal Talkies Road, Kampti, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
148.	-do-	133-Nagpur North (SC)	Ghanshyam Ramaji Malke, At. & Post. Mangrud, Tahsil Bhiwapur, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
149.	-do-	-do-	Janorkar Ramratan Jilim, Dr. Ambedkar Road, Panchpaoli, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
150.	-do-	-do-	Nitnawre Nagsen Punaram, Panchsheelnagar, P.O. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
151.	-do-	-do-	Pandurang Shrawanji Hiwrale, B.N.-28/982, Hudco Colony, P.O. Jaripatka, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
152.	-do-	-do-	Borkar Mahendra Jairamji, 51, Nirmal Colony, Nara Road, Nagpur-14, Maharashtra.	-do-
153.	-do-	134-Nagpur East	Pramod Natthuji Manmode, 123/B, Shivnagar, Nagpur-9, Maharashtra.	-do-
154.	-do-	-do-	Yenurkar Vishnu, Keshavrao, Itwari Nehru Putala, Near Rayali Mandir, Nagpur-2, Maharashtra.	-do-
155.	-do-	-do-	Rajesh Bapuraoji Kakade, Nagoba Galli No. 2, Jalalpura, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
156.	-do-	135-Nagpur South	Agrawal Kamal Pralhadrai, Main Road, Nandanwan, Plot No. 197, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
157.	-do-	-do-	Arun Wadikar, 397 Hanumannagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
158.	-do-	-do-	Kurve Tulshiram Chandrabhan, Hanumannagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
159.	-do-	-do-	Khandelwal Sunil Brijmohan, Reshambag Chowk, Ward No. 10, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
160.	-do-	-do-	Devidas Ramrao Deshmukh, 151, Balajinagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
161.	-do-	-do-	Ratan Parasram Khobragade, Indiranagar, Jattarodi, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
162.	-do-	-do-	Wasu Nagendra Das, 175-Hanumannagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-

1

2

3

4

5

163.	General Election to Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	135-Nagpur South	Hajare Pravin Chandrabhan, Chandranagar, Bhogwannagar, Nagpur-27, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
164.	-do-	-do-	Hande Rajesh Ramchandrarao, Tukdojinagar, Pilot No. 237, Post-Jawaharnagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra	-do-
165.	-do-	-do-	Raut Puroshattam Bhauraao, 232, Tukadojinagar, Manewada Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
166.	-do-	136-Nagpur Central	Abdul Aziz Abdul Shakoor, "Rangoonwala" Quality Building, 2nd floor Ganjakhet Bhandara Road, Nagpur-18, Maharashtra.	-do-
167.	-do-	-do-	Jaiswal Anand Ratanlal, Hansapuri, Bhandara Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
168.	-do-	-do-	Pramod Marotraoji Chanande, Bhankheda, Ward No. 62, Post-Mominpura Police Station Tahsil, Nagpur-18, Maharashtra.	-do-
169.	-do-	-do-	Mustak Baba Khan Abdul Sattar Khan, Near Muslim Library Canteen, Mominpura, Nagpur, Maharashtra	-do-
170.	-do-	-do-	Dr. Mohd. Umar Khan, Sadique Colony, Mankapur, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
171.	-do-	-do-	Vijay Singh Parihar, Ganjipeth, Near Firebrigade, Nagpur-18, Maharashtra.	-do-
172.	-do-	-do-	Soni Kailash Kunjbihari, Hansapuri, Bhandara Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
173.	-do-	-do-	Hafzul Kabir S/o Hifzul Karim, Near Chhota Loharpura Masjid, Central Avenue back Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
174.	-do-	-do-	Hedao Hemraj Ganpati, Rambhaji Road, Bagal's Akhada, Nagpur-18, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
175.	General Election to Maha- rashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	138-Kalmeshwar	Khandate Santosh Maroti, R/o Chimnazari, Post-Borkhedi (Rly. Station) Tah. Nagpur (R), Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
176.	-do-	-do-	Nikose Shankar Natthuji, R/o Pipla (Kinkhede), Tahsil Kalmeshwar, Distt. Nashik, Maharashtra.	-do-
177.	-do-	-do-	Paliwal Balkisan Somraj R/o Kondhalai, Tahsil-Katol, Distt.-Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
178.	-do-	-do-	Rajesh Bapuraoji Kakde, R/o Nagoba Galli, No. 2, Jalalpura, Nagpur-2, Maharashtra	-do-
179.	-do-	-do-	Barahate Suresh Shankar, R/o Kanholibara, Tahsil-Hingna, Distt.-Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
180.	-do-	-do-	Talatule Premnath Panjhraoji, R/o Ridhora, Tahsil-Katol, Distt.-Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
181.	-do-	139-Katol	Panchabhai Babu Ramrao, 18/4, MIG Colony, Trimurti Nagar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
182.	-do-	-do-	Wadiwe Ukanrao Jangluji, At. PO. Mohdi (Dalvi), Tah. Narkhed, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
183.	-do-	160-Savner	Gulabrao Bisan Kolhe, Walni Mines, Tah. - Saoner, Maharashtra.	-do-
184.	-do-	-do-	Prakash Marotrao Lonare, 243, Nandanvan Colony, Nagpur, Maharashtra	-do-
185.	-do-	-od-	Raghuvanshi Charandas Kisan Singh, Ward No. 12, Khapa, Tah. Saoner, Maharashtra.	-do-
186.	-do-	-do-	Sanjay Shankarrao Dhole, Kodegaon, Tah. Saonez, Maharashtra.	-do-
187.	-do-	-do-	Dnyaneshwar Bhaskar Sarode, Temburdoch, Tah. Saoner, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
188.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	141-Ramtek	Kalra Vijaykumar Remaldas, At. Jawahar Nagar, Post. Kanhan (Pipri), Tali. Parsgoni, Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
189.	-do-	-do-	Shingade Kacharu Pakira, At. & Post - Kodamendhik, Tah. Mouda, Distt-Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
190.	-do-	145-Tirora (SC)	Kaushik Prabhat Tukaram, Ramabai ward (Ambatoli), Gondia, At. - Post - Gondia, Teh. Gondia, Distt. - Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
191.	-do-	-do-	Bhaladhare Kashiram Ruda, At-Post-Gangazari, Tah. Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra	-do-
192.	-do-	-do-	Ramteke Nandlal Abhiman, At. Post Ekodi (Rampuri), Tah. Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
193.	-do-	-do-	Waghmare Sanjay Chambharu, At. Vihirgaon, Post-Sarandi, Tah. Tirora, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra	-do-
194.	-do-	-do-	Shahare Diwakar Janu, At. Post. Paraswada, Tah. Tirora, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
195.	-do-	-do-	Sharda Prahlad Rahulkar, Shahid Mishra Ward, Tirora Tah. Tirora Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
196.	-do-	-do-	Sakhare Arvind Tarachand, 23/1, Priyadarshani Colony, Civil Line, Nagpur. Tah. & Distt. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
197.	-do-	146-Gondia	Upwanshi Yadorao Gondilal, At. & Post-Nagra, Tah. Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra	-do-
198.	-do-	-do-	Nandeshwar Manohar Mayaram Jassani Ward Ramnagar Ward Gondit, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
199.	-do-	-do-	Pal Matadin Ramlal, Metatoli, Near Municipal High School, Rajendra Ward, Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
200.	-do-	-do-	Bharadwaj Munna Budhramsingh, Mahatma Fule Ward, Gadda Toli, Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
201.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	146-Gondia	Yerpude Damodar Kishor, Azad Ward, Juni Basti, Tirora, Taluka Trora Distt. Bhandara Maharashtra	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
202.	-do-	-do-	Sharnagat Shamlal Isram, At. Post Nangpura (Murli), Taluka - Gondia, Distt. - Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
203.	-do-	-do-	Shengar Pradip Sardarsingh, Shiwaji Ward, Gondia, Maharashtra.	-do-
204.	-do-	-do-	Sayed Kayamuddin Sayed Noor, 131, Mukherjee Ward, Ramnagar, Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
205.	-do-	-do-	Moujilal Dhadaji Bhagat, At. Arjuni Post Rawanwadi, Taluka - Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra,	Failed to lodge the account in the manner.
206.	-do-	148-Amgaon	Sakhre Nandkishore Chaitram, Mukudoh, Tah. - Salekasa, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
207.	-do-	150-Lakhandur	Fude Mahadeo Laxman, At. Karandla, P.O. Virli (BK), Tah. Lakhandur, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra	-do-
208.	-do-	-do-	Brahmankar Avinash Anand, At. Salabardi, P.O. Dighori (Mothi), Tah. Arjuni Margaon, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
209.	-do-	-do-	Rathod Durgabhaiyya Alias Dhansingh Umetsingh, At. P.O. Soni, Tah. Lakhandur, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
210.	-do-	-do-	Ramteke Bhau. At. PO. Tah. Lakhandur, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
211.	-do-	-do-	Lonare Abhimany Ganesh, At. PO. Itkheda, Tah. - Arjuni Margaon, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
212.	-do-	-do-	Salame Mahadeo Sacji, At. Jambhaji/Porla, PO. Wadegaon/Nipanya, Tah.-Arjuni/Margaon, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
213.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995	176-Gangakhed (SC)	Gadeker Shantabai Shankerrao, R/o Khurlewadi, Post-Raorajur, Taluka - Pallam, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses
214.	-do-	-do-	Sawale Lalu Damaji, R/o & Post Raorajur, Taluka- Pallam, Maharashtra	-do-
215.	-do-	200-Chausala	Sadashiv Keruba Pansare, At. Ambilwadgaon, P.O. Santara Pothra, Tq. & Distt. Beed, Maharashtra.	-do-
216.	-do-	-do-	Thorat Dhanajirao Dasaro, At & P.O. Chunsala Tq. & Distt. Beed, Maharashtra.	-do-
217.	-do-	-do-	Mohan Nivrati Kokate, At, Ekurka, P.O. Sarne (s), Tq. Kaij, Distt. Beed, Maharashtra.	-do-
218.	-do-	-do-	Veer Bhagwan Lahanuji, At. & P.O. Manjarsumba, Tal. Beed, Distt. Beed, Maharashtra.	-do-
219.	-do-	-do-	Shukla Narendraprasad Deviprasad, At. & P.O. Katgharpura, Kille Dharur, Tal. Dharur, Distt. Beed, Maharashtra	-do-
220.	-do- ,,	137-Nagpur West	Agrawal Krushnakant Jivraj, 428, Sadar, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
221.	-do-	-do-	Arun Shyamrao Joshi, Shriram Mandir, Tilak Road, Mahal, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
222.	-do-	-do-	Sau. Ashabai Jannu Singumare (Masaram), Gandhinagar, Universities Ladies' Hostel Qr. Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
223.	-do-	-do-	Shri Kumar Ramsewak, Yogendra Nagar, Katol Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
224.	-do-	-do-	Neware Uday Sheshrao, Plot No. 55, House No. 69, Gopal Nagar, Geralo Lay-Out, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
225.	-do-		Pawar Pandurang Ramchandraj 97, Shankar Nagar, From Lalita Bichayat Kendra, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
226.	General Election to the Maharashtra Legislative Assembly, 1995.	137-Nagpur West	Mohod Nanaji Marotraoji, Pensen Nagar, H.No. 104, Ward No. 81, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	Failed to lodge any account of election expenses.
227.	-do-	-do-	Yuwanate Chintaman Kashiram, Makardhokada, Katol Road, H.No. 24, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
228.	-do-	-do-	Ramdas Rajeram Diware, Godhani Railway Station, Ngpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
229.	-do-	-do-	Singh Indrasen Shivkumar, Anutrag Bhavan, Anant Nagar, Katol Road, Nagpur, Maharashtra.	-do-
230.	-do-	142-Tumsar	Agrawal Ram Satyanarayanji, 133/1, Rajendranagar, Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
231.	-do-	-do-	Agrawal Satyanarayan Govindprasad, At. & Post : Lobhi, Tah. Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
232.	-do-	-do-	Gaidhane Yadorao Madhorao, At : Neharunagar, Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
233.	-do-	-do-	Gurve Suresh Bajirao, At : Navegaon, P.O. Umarwada, Tah : Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
234.	-do-	-do-	Nanaji Mahadeo Selokar, At : Bamni, PO. Madgi, Tah : Tumsar, Distt : Bhandra, Maharashtra.	-do-
235.	-do-	-do-	Mishra Sudarshan Nawalkishor, At : Satbori Chouk, Civil Line, Gondia, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
236.	-do-	-do-	Raut Lakharam Undru, At. PO. Jamb, Tah: Mohadi, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
237.	-do-	-do-	Raut Sukhadeo Bapuji, At : Ravindranath Tagore Ward, Bhandara, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
238.	-do-	-do-	Wat Kishor Sitaramji, At : Nehrunagar, Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
239.	-do-	-do-	Shendo Kamraj Rama, At : Paunarkhari, P.O. Gobarwahi, Tah : Tumsar, Distt. Bhandara, Maharashtra.	-do-
240.	-do-	151-Armori (ST)	Pendam Parasram Mangaruji, Fule Ward Desalganj, Ta. Desalganj, Distt. Gadchiroli, Maharashtra.	-do-

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, ७ जुलाई, १९९७

आ.ध. 145—निवाचित आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि लोकसभा के 1996 में हुए सावारण निवाचित के लिए जो नीचे बी सारणी के रतम्भ (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट केरल राज्य के संसदीय निवाचित-क्षेत्र से हुआ है स्वतंत्र (3) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निवाचित लड़ने वाला प्रत्यक्ष अभ्यर्थी, जोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के रतम्भ (4) में यथा दर्शित अपने निवाचित व्यर्थों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्पूर्ण सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए या तो कोई कारण अभ्यास स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निवाचित आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या व्यायामित नहीं है;

अतः अब, निवाचित आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्वतंत्र (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट अभ्यर्थियों जो संसद के किसी भी सवन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य-क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अधिवास विधान परिषद के मद्दत से जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है:—

## सारणी

क्र.सं.	संसदीय निवाचित-क्षेत्र की त्र.सं. और नाम	निवाचित लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहित का कारण
1	2	3	4
1.	2—कन्नूर	श्री/संवंशी/सुश्री मोक्त अली सी.एच. चोट्टूबटी हाऊस, (पो.प्रा.) मुमाकुलु बाजार-८७० ६७३	कोई भी लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया।
2.	3—वडागार	इलाय जलील, पो.प्रा. कालताची, वाया नादापुरम, पिन-८७३ ५०८, केरल	—वही—
3.	—वही—	मुक्ताय अम्मेद, पो. प्रा. अखर, वाया काक्काटिटल, पिन-८७३ ५०७, केरल	—वही—

[सं. ७०/केरल-सं./९७]

आदेश में,

बाबू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 145.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (3) of the Table below at the General Election to the Lok Sabha, 1996 held from the Parliamentary Constituency in the State of Kerala specified in column (2) against his/her name has failed to lodged an account of his/her election expenses as shown in column (4) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failures en after due notice, and the Election Commission, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, The Election Commission hereby declares the person specified in column (3) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being, a member of either House of the Parliamentary or Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

Sl. No.	No. & Name of Parliamentary Constituency	Name and address of the contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1.	2-Cannanore	S/Sh./Ms. Shaukathali, C.H. Chettuvatty House, (PO) Muzhakkunnu Bazar, 670 673, Kerala.	Account not lodged at all
2.	3-Badagara	Illath Jaleel, P.O. Kallachi, Via. Nadapuram, Pin : 673506, Kerala.	-do-
3.	-do-	Kunnath Ahmed, P.O. Aroor, Via. Kakkattil, Pin : 673507, Kerala.	-do-

[No. 76/KL-HP/97]  
By Order,  
BABU RAM, Secy.

## आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 7 जूलाई, 1997

प्रा. अ. 146—निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट गुजरात लोक सभा के साधारण निर्वाचन के लिए औ स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से दृश्या है स्तम्भ (4) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निर्वाचन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अभ्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्वीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अनुमति उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (5) में यथा दर्शित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और उक्त अभ्यर्थियों ने सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए या तो कोई सारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है या उनके द्वारा दिए गए अभ्यावेदनों पर, यदि कोई हो, विचार करने के पश्चात् निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायीचित्य नहीं है;

अतः अब, निर्वाचन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में विनिर्दिष्ट अभ्यर्थियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य-क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालाबधि के लिए निरर्दित घोषित करता है:—

## सारणी

क्र. सं.	निर्वाचन का विवरण	लोक सभा निर्वाचन क्षेत्र की क्रम सं. और नाम	निर्वाचन लड़ने वाले अभ्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहृता का कारण
1	2	3	4	5
14.	लोक सभा के लिए साधारण निर्वाचन, 1996	23--भौंच	प्रो. कनक सिंह गोहिल, मकान नं. 17, पैराडाइज पार्क, बाटर टैक के पास, भोलढ, भौंच, गुजरात।	लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया

1	2	3	4	5
15.	लोक सभा के लिए लक्ष्यावरण निर्धारण, 1996	23-भડ़ीच	कोटोवाला खालिक एम.आर. शास्योदया सोसाइटी, रेलवे गोदी के पास, स्टेशन रोड, अंकलेश्वर, गुजरात।	लेखा दाविल नहीं किया।
16.	--वही--	--वही--	भाईलाल मानसंग परियार, मु. व पो. --करेली, ता. जम्बुसर, जिला—भड़ीच, गुजरात।	--वही--
17.	--वही--	--वही--	श्री मिस्त्री परमानन्द दी. खरादी, थार-नी-खाड़की, सोनेरी महल, वाटर ट्रिक के सामने भड़ीच (गुजरात)	--वही--
18.	--वही--	3--जामनगर	कलारिया मुकेश जरामधाई, शिव, तालुक : भानवाड, जिला—जामनगर, वाया—वन्सजलिया, गुजरात।	--वही--
19.	--वही--	--वही--	जोखिया अन्वर उमेर, द्वारका गेट, धोबी स्ट्रीट, खामखलिया, गुजरात।	--वही--
20.	--वही--	--वही--	प्रेस रिपोर्टर, राज्य गृह राजेश नरभेंश्कर उर्फ आर.एन. राज्य गृह स्ट्रीट, जामखमखलिया, जिला—जामनगर, गुजरात।	--वही--
21.	--वही--	--वही--	वाला रावजी कुमार भानजीभाई, नागनाथ गेट ओल्ड कुम्भेरदाढी, गंगा भूवसू, जामनगर (गुजरात)	--वही--
22.	--वही--	--वही--	हर्षदभाई रायथाठा (राहू) (जरनलिस्ट) आईवी, 1406, रणजीत नगर, जामनगर गुजरात।	--वही; --
23.	--वही--	8—भावनगर	इलीष बी. चौहान, न्यू विथालवाडी, कमरा नं. 215, ठबल फ्लोर विलिंग, गुजरात हाऊसिंग बोर्ड कालोनी, भावनगर, गुजरात।	--वही--
24.	--वही--	5—योरन्दर	करमता पांडा जीवा रबड़ीवास, झो.एच पुलिस लाइन, मु. कुटियाना, जिला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	--वही--
25.	--वही--	--वही--	काटेसरिया शान्तिलाल, वेलजीभाई, विजाली चौक, मु. उपलेता, जिला—राजकोट, गुजरात।	--वही--

1	2	3	4	5
26.	बोक सभा के लिए साधारण नियमित, 1996	5—पोरबन्दर	कन्डिया तालाभाई नुरमामद, बी एच मासलतादार का कार्यालय, मु. उपलेता, ज़िला राजकोट, गुजरात।	जेबा दाखिल नहीं किया।
27.	—वही—	—वही—	गाल विलीप देवशी, म. बामनासा घेड, तालुक केशोद, ज़िला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही—
28.	—वही—	—वही—	फलूद अशोक कुमार वीरजीभाई, म. मेरवादार, तालुक उपलेता, ज़िला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही—
29.	—वही—	—वही—	सुतरेजा अलाभाई मेरागभाई, म. सुतरेज तालुक केशोद, ज़िला जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही—
30.	—वही—	6—जूनागढ़	डेर जेठाभाई फोगलभाई, बलराज साहनी रोड, बापुना बावला के पास उपलेता, ज़िला—राजकोट, गुजरात।	—वही—
31.	—वही—	—वही—	मगनभाई मेनानदभाई महीदा, बडोहर, तालुक केशोद, बाया एक्सीटक, ज़िला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही—
32.	—वही—	—वही—	राजपोष्ट सुरेश नालाला, राज असलार ओकर, चूना भट्टी रोड, केशोद, गुजरात।	—वही—
33.	—वही—	—वही—	रिवादिवा वल्लभभाई कदवाभाई, जीवापारा, विसवादार, ज़िला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही;—
34.	—वही—	—वही—	सोनदरखा अयन्तीलाल करमनभाई, द्विरसारा घेड, ततालुक केशोद, ज़िला—जूनागढ़, गुजरात।	—वही—
35.	—वही—	—वही—	हाला इस्मेलबासीभाई, लिवेकानन्द सोसाइटी, झाजापीर दरमाह 80 फीट रोड के पास, वेरावल, वेरावल, गजरात।	—वही—
36.	—वही;—	15—सावरकड़ा	खासिदभाई इब्राहीमभाई मेमन, जवाहरनगर, मालनगेट, पालनपुर, ज़िला बी.के. गुजरात।	—वही—
37.	—वही—	—वही—	पंचाल भारतकालीदास, मु. व पो. दान्ता, तालुक दान्ता, ज़िला—बी.के., गुजरात।	—वही—
38.	—वही—	—वही—	मधुसूदन दयालाल भट्टु, मु. व पो. इलोल, तह.—हिम्मतनगर, ज़िला—एस. के., गुजरात।	—वही—

1	2	3	4	5
39.	जोक सभा ले लिए साधारण निवाचिस, 1996	1—कच्छ	कापड़ी प्रेमजी रामजीभाई, कुण्णा पान हाऊस, सवासर नाका, अन्जर कच्छ, गुजरात।	लेखा दास्तिल नहीं किया
40.	—वही—	—वही—	पठेल भरतकुमार मानजी, सायनरा (याकश), ता. नारवतराणा, गुजरात।	
41.	—वही—	—वही—	पथियार हाजी—जाकब बाबा नूनथाटाड, तह. घलदासा, गुजरात।	—वही—
42.	—वही—	—वही—	मेमन इत्राहीम लालमामद, बाई नं. 9, भिद नाका के बाहर, भुज, कच्छ, गुजरात।	—वही—
43.	—वही—	—वही—	वीरभान अचरा शानपार, पो. मोतीराथ, ता. रापर, कच्छ, गुजरात।	—वही—
44.	—वही—	—वही—	हरीभाई नरन वरचन्द रामवाय, ता. रापड, गुजरात।	—वही—

[सं. 76/गुज-लो.स./96]  
आदेश से,  
दावू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997.

O.N. 146.—Whereas, the Election Commission of India is satisfied that each of the contesting candidate specified in column 4 of the Table below at the General Election to the House of People in Gujarat State Specified in column 2 and held from the constituency specified in column 3 against his/her name has failed to lodge the account of his/her election expenses as shown in column 5 of the said Table as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failure even after due notice by the Election Commission or the Commission after considering the representation made by them if any, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the persons specified in column 4 of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State or Union Territory for a period of three years from the date of this order:—

## TABLE

S. No.	Particulars of Election	Sl. No. & Name of Parliamentary Constituency	Name & Address of the contesting candidates	Reasons for disqualification
1	2	3	4	5
14.	General Election to the House of the People, 1996.	23-Broach	Prot. Kanaksingh Gohil, House No. 17, Paradise Park, Near Water Tank, Bholav, Bharuch, Gujarat.	Account not lodged.

1	2	3	4	5
15.	General Election to the House of the People, 1996	23-Broach	Kothiwala Khalik M.R. Bhagyodaya Society, near Railway Godi, Station Road, Ankleshwar, Gujarat.	Account not lodged.
16.	-do-	-do-	Bhaijal Mansang Padhiyar, At & Post-Kareli, Tel.-Jambusar, Distt.-Bharuch, Gujarat.	-do-
17.	-do-	-do-	Mistri Parmanand D. Kharadi, Thar-ni-Khadki, Soneri Mahel, Opp. Water Tank, Bharuch (GJ)	-do-
18.	-do-	3-Jamnagar	Lalaria Mukesh Jerambhai Shiva, Taluka : Bhanvad, Distt. - Jamnagar, Via : Vansjalia, Gujarat.	-do-
19.	-do-	-do-	Jokhia Anvar Umar Dwarka Gate Dhabhi Street, Khambhalia, Gujarat.	-do-
20.	-do-	-do-	Press Reporter Rajyaguru Rajesh V - Narbheshanker Alias (R. N. Rajyaguru), Stavarawad, Rajyaguru Street, Jamkhambhalia, Distt.-Jamnagar, Gujarat.	-do-
21.	-do-	-do-	Vala Ravjikuma. Bhanjibhai, Nagnath Gate Old Kumbhatwado, 'Ganga Bhuvan',	-do-
22.	-do-	-do-	Harshadbhai, Raithatha (Rahu). (Journalist), 1-9, 1406 Ranjitnagar, Jamnagar, Gujarat	-do-
23.	-do-	8-Bhavnagar	Deepl B. Chauhan New Withalwadi, Room No. 215, Double Floor-Building, Gujarat Housing Board Colony, Bhavnagar, Gujarat.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
24.	General Election to the House of the People, 1996.	5-Porbandar	Karmata Paba Jiva Rabarivas, B/H Police Line, At : Kutiyana, Distt. ; Junagarh, Gujarat.	Account not ledgerd.
25.	-do-	-do-	Kantesariya Shantilal Veljibhai, Vijali Chowk, At. Upleta, Distt. Rajkot Gujarat.	-do-
26.	-do-	-do-	Kandia Talabbhai Nurmamad, B/H Mamlatdar Office At. : Upleta, Distt. Rajkot, Gujarat.	-do-
27.	-do-	-do-	Gal Dilip Devshi At. : Bamnasa Ghed, Taluka : Keshod, Distt. : Junagadh, Gujarat.	-do-
28.	-do-	-do-	Faldu Ashok Kumar Virjibhai At. : Mervadar, TOjukQ : Upleta, Distt. : Junagadh, Gujarat.	-do-
29.	-do-	-do-	Sufreja Alabhai Meraghbai, At. Sutrej Taluka, Keshod Distt. Junagadh Gujarat.	-do-
30.	-do-	6-Junagadh	Der Jethabhai Fogalbhai Balaraj Sahani Road, Near Bapuna Bavla, Upleta, Distt. Rajkot, Gujarat.	-do-
31.	-do-	-do-	Maganbhai Menanadbhai Mahida, Badodar, Tal. Keshod, Via Axaygadh, Distt. Junagadh, Gujarat.	-do-
32.	-do-	-do-	Rajpopat Suresh Nathalal, Raj Astar Brokar, Chuna Bhathi Road, Keshod, Gujarat.	-do-
33.	-do-	-do-	Ribadiya Vallabhbhai Kadvabhai, Jivapara, Visavadar, Distt. Junagadh, Gujarat.	-do-

1	2	3	4	5
34.	General Election to the House of the People, 1996	6-Junagarh	Sondarva Jayantilal Karamanbai, Khirasara Ghed, Tal. Keshod, Distt. Junagadh, Gujarat.	Account not Lodged
35.	-do-	-do-	Hala Ismail Valibhai Vivekanand Society, Khavajapir Dargah, Near 80 Feet Road, Veraval, Gujarat.	-do-
36.	-do-	15-Sabarkantha	Khalidbhai Ibrahimbhai Menon Jawaharnagar, Malangate, Palanpur, Distt. B.K., Gujarat	-do-
37.	-do	-do-	Panchal Bharat Kalidas, At. & Post Danta, Ta. Danta, Distt. B.K., Gujarat	-do-
38.	-do-	-do-	Madhusudan Dahyala Bhatt At. & Post Ilol, Ta. Himatnagar, Distt. S.K., Gujarat	-do-
39.	-do-	1-Kutch	Kapdi Premji Ramjibhai Krishna Pan House, Savasar Naka, Anjar Kachchh, Gujarat.	-do-
40.	-do-	-do-	Patel Bharatkumar Manji Sayra (Yaksh), Ta. Nakhatrana, Gujarat.	-do-
41.	-do-	-do-	Padhiyar Haji-Jakab Bava Nundhatad, Ta. Aldasa, Gujarat.	-do
42.	-do-	-do-	Meman Ibrahim Lalmamad Ward No. 9, Outside Bhid Naka Bhuj, Kachchh, Gujarat.	-do-
43.	-do-	-do-	Virbhan Achra Shanpar, Post Moti Rav., Ta. Rapar-Kachchh, Gujarat	-do-
44.	-do-	-do-	Haribhai Naran Varchand Ramvav, Ta. Rapar, Gujarat.	-do-

## श्रावेश

तई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई 1997

प्रा. अ. 147.—निवाचिन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि केरल विधान सभा के साधारण निवाचिन 1996 जो स्तम्भ (2) में विनिर्विष्ट निवाचिन-क्षेत्र से हुआ है स्तम्भ (3) में उसके सामने विनिर्विष्ट निवाचिन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक आम्बर्धी, सोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित उक्त सारणी के स्तम्भ (4) में यथा दर्शित अपने निवाचिन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है;

और यह: उक्त आम्बर्धीयों ने सम्पूर्ण सूचना दिए जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिए या तो कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निवाचिन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अतः अब, निवाचिन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (3) विनिर्विष्ट व्यक्तियों को संसद के किसी यज्ञ/संघ राज्य क्षेत्र की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस श्रावेशों की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

## सारणी

क्र. सं.	संसदीय/विधान सभा निवाचिन-क्षेत्र की क्र.सं. और नाम	निवाचिन लड़ने वाले आम्बर्धी का नाम और पता	निरहित का कारण
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	9-कुर्जीकोडे	श्री/सुश्री/के. एम. कुर्जी हर्मीद हाजी पाईनहरे पीडीकाबिल, पो. मटोल नाथ, केरल।	कोई भी लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया
2.	-वही-	मिरीचम थोमस जोसफ, निरीचम हाउस आर. सी. वर्च के पास, पो. मटोल पो. बैंगरा, केरल।	-वही-
3.	-वही-	सुबाष अयोध अयोध, कल्नापुरम, पो. चेस्कुल, केरल।	-वही-
4.	10-कम्मानोर	पानायन कुमारन, पारापिल हाउस पो. कट्टामपल्ली, केरल।	-वही-
5.	11-एवाकाद	पी. सी. रमेनाथम, नियाधी सदन, पो. मुक्काप्पाला, केरल।	-वही-
6.	12-तेलीचेरी	मुस्तफा-फरजस, अराक्कल पाराक्कट हाउस, मुकुन्दा मरसेर रोड, थालसेरी केरल।	-वही-
7.	14-कुरुपाराम्बा	अम्बीलत मोहनन, पूष्णीयिल, पाराम्बिल, मंगाट्टील्लय, पो. केरल।	-वही-
8.	17-बड़ागारा	के. के. कृष्णन, कस्तूरी कुनी, ओरकाटेरी, केरल।	-वही-
9.	18—नाडापुरम	अलीकुट्टी अरियेरी, पो. पेराड (वाया) नाडापुरम केरल।	-वही-
10	21—पेराम्बरा	नेलीयोट कुम्हामेद, पो. पोलारी (वाया) कट्टीजाडी, केरल।	-वही-
11.	28—थिरुवाम्बादी	अम्बुल नाजेर, काराकेम्मेल हाउस, पो. तमरासेरी, केरल।	-वही-
12.	82—थोडुपुमा	अम्बू अबांहम, मटटोप्पिलिललत, ताम्मानकुतु, पो. आ. थोडुपुमा, केरल।	-वही-
13.	-वही-	सी. एच. अशरफ, चेट्टे अकुड़यिल हाउस, आदिमाली पो. केरल।	-वही-

1	2	3	4
14.	-वही-	कासिम मृहम्मद, उर्ध्यथामपलाकल, कल्याण थानी पो. केरल।	कोई भी लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया।
15.	-वही-	पी. जे. जोसफ, पलाथानाथ, पेरिंगामेरी, उडुम्बान्नूर केरल।	
16.	-वही-	जार्ज थोमस, नारानाथु. चित्तूर, पुथुपरियारम पो. केरल।	-वही-
17.	-वही-	जार्ज पौलोस (बेबी अच्चान) पुलियाम्मकल हाउस, कल्याणथानी पो. केरल।	-वही-
18.	82-योडुपुष्टा	एम. टी. थोमस, मंगलालाथु हाउस, केरल कर्मिन्नूर पो. आ. केरल।	
19.	-वही-	के. एस. विजयन शंकरन, कुटियानीकल, थाट्टारायट्टा पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
20.	-वही-	पो. ओ. सानू, पोमाला कुन्नेल, वेन्नाम्मूर पो. योडुपुष्टा, केरल।	-वही-
21.	84-इडुक्की	सी. एन. शंकर चेत्तापलाकल, उप्पुथोडे पो. आ. इडुक्की, केरल।	-वही-
22.	-वही-	साब जोसफ/मुथातु-दयाना भवन, कट्टाप्पना पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
23.	-वही-	के. एन. सोमाराजन, कलानीकल बीड, मुलारीकुडी पो. आ. कोन्नाथाडी, केरल।	-वही-
24.	85-उद्यनतचोला	ई. सी. अमस्ती, इट्टीकल वीडु, चमपाकाप्पारा पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
25.	-वही-	मैथ्यू थोमस अंगाविट्टि, कन्वीयार पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
26.	-वही-	एम. सी. वरगिल, ब्राह्म द्वार्का, ग्रल्लापारा पो. आ. पेरुम्बावृरा एरनाकुलम जिला केरल।	-वही-
27.	-वही-	सासी नारायण, ब्लाक, न. 959, कल्लार पाट्टम कालोनी रामा कालमेडु पो. केरल	-वही-
28.	86-परिमेड	एम. जी. थास्पी, मुलामकूशी मिल, पाल्लीहूनू, पोथुपारा, पीरमेड, केरल।	-वही-
29.	-वही-	जोसफ कक्कानाडा, कक्कानाडा, नेन्नाडू पो. आ. पोजार केरल	-वही-
30.	परिमेड	बी. सुकुमारन, एशवर्य भवन, सोमनी बेली, इम्पेट, इलापारा पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
31.	-वही-	पी. के. हनीपा, पुथेनपुराकल, वर्णीपेरियर पो. आ. केरल।	-वही-
32.	114-पथनापुरम	अद्वल मजीद रेजीमोन मजिन, चेलावकोडु, पथनापुरम, केरल।	-वही-
33.	-वही-	बीलू जाजै, कालुवेट्टामकूशी, कानूमकाडावु, पथनापुरम, केरल।	-वही-
34.	116-वाडायामंगलम	अद्वल रशीद मौलवी, यू. के. ब्राह्मामनसील, साइनागापल्ली, पो. आ. पिन 690519 केरल।	-वही-

1	2	3	4
35.	चाण्डायामंगमल	लाल कुमार पो. प्लानाकाला बीड, युडायानूर पो. आ. काइक्कल (वाया) कोल्लाम-म91536, केरल	कोई भी लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया
36.	120-कुन्नाथूर (अ.जा.)	टी. ए. वेलायधान, थालीकुन्नाथूर बीड पेरीगंजा पो. आ. इनकिलम-683565, केरल	-वही-
37.	121-चबारा	मुभापचन्द्र बोस, पी. पूरनामी, सक्षितकलानगारा, कोल्लाम, केरल	-वही-
38.	126-चथान्तुर	करीमपालूर पंकजाकशन, कोलायिल, बीडु करीमपालूर, पोथापालूर, पोथाकुलम पो. आ. पारीपाल्ली, केरल	-वही-
39.	-वही-	मथुसूदन पिल्लई, सुमालायम, पोलाचिरा,	-वही-
34.	-वही-	मथसूदन पिल्लई, सुमालायम, पोलाचिरा, पो. आ. पिन-691334, केरल	-वही-
40.	-वही-	राजेन्द्र मास्टलर, गीतानायाम, इडाकटोम, चिराक्कोरा (पो. आ.) केरल	-वही-
41.	-वही-	ऐल कुमार जी. थेन पुबेहु, कोनायिल पारावूर, केरल	-वही-

[सं. 76 केरल-वि सं /197]

आदेश से,  
बाबू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 147.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column(3) of the Table below at the General Election to the Kerala Legislative Assembly, 1996 held from the constituency specified in column (2) against his/her name has failed to lodged an account of his/her election expenses as shown in column (4) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for [the said failures even after due notice, and the Election Commission, is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the person specified in column(3) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of the Parliamentary or Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

Sl. No.	No. & Name of Assembly Constituency	Name and address of the contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1.	9-Azhikode	S/Sh./Ms. K.M. Kunhahammed Hajee Padinhare Peedikayil, P.O. Mattool North, Kerala.	Account not lodged at all.
2.	-do-	Nirichan Thomas Joseph, Nirichan House, Near R.C. Church, P.O. Mattool, Ayodhya, P.O. Vengara, Kerala	-do-

1	2	3	4
3.	9-Azhinode	Subash Ayyoth, Ayyoth, Kannapuram, P.O. Cherukunnu, Kerala.	Account not lodged at all.
4.	10-Cannanore	Panayan Kumaran, Parappil House, P.O. Kattampally, Kerala.	-do-
5.	11-Edakkad	P.C. Raghunathan, Niyathi Sadan (P.O.) Muzhappala, Kerala.	-do-
6.	12-Tellicherry	Mustafa-Farjas, Arakkal Parakkat House Mukunda Maller Road, Thalasseri-I. Kerala.	-do-
7.	14-Kuthuparamba	Ambilat Mohanan, Poozhiyil, Parambil, Mangattidam P.O. Kerala.	-do-
8.	17-Badagara	K.K. Krishnan, Kasthoorikuni Orkatteri, Kerala.	-do-
9.	18-Nadapuram	Alikutty Ariyeri, P.O. Perode (VIA) Nadapuram, Kerala.	-do-
10.	21-Perambra	Nelliyoit Kunhammed, P.O. Palari (VIA) Kuttizadi, Kerala.	-do-
11.	28-Thiruvambadi	Abdul Nazer, Karakkunnummel House, P.O. Tamarassery Kerala.	-do-
12.	82-Thodupuzha	Abu Abraham, Mattappillil, Thommankuthu P.O. Thodupuzha, Kerala.	-do-
13.	-do-	C.H. Ashruf, Chettethkudyil, House, Adimali P.O. Kerala.	-do-

1	2	3	4
14.	82-Thodupuzha	Kasim Muhammed, Uruthiyamplackal Kalayanthani P.O. Kerala.	Account not lodged at all.
15.	-do-	P.J. Joseph, Palathanathu, Peringasseri, Udumbannoor. Kerala.	-do-
16.	-do-	George Thomas, Naranathu, Chittoor, Puthuppariyaram PO. Kerala.	-do-
17.	-do-	George Paulose, (Baby Achan), Puliyammaokal House. Kalayanthani P.O. Kerala.	-do-
18.	-do-	M.T. Thomas, Mangalathu House, Karimannoor PO. Kerala.	-do-
19.	-do-	K.S. Vijayan Sankaran, Kuthyanickal Thattarathatta Ruchiyanickal P.O. Kerala.	-do-
20.	-do-	P.O. Sanu, Poomalakunnel, Vengalloor P.O. Thodupuzha, Kerala.	-do-
21.	84-Idukki	C.N. Sankar, Chelaplackal, Upputhode P.O., Idukki, Kerala.	-do-
22.	-do-	Sabu Joseph, Muthathu Dayanabhavan, Kattappanna P.O., Kerala.	-do-
23.	-do-	K.N. Somrajan, Kallanickal Veedu, Mullarikudy P.O., Kodnathady, Kerala.	-do-
24.	85-Udumbanchola	E.C. Augusth, Ettickal Veedu, Chempakappara P.O., Kerala.	-do-
25.	-do-	Mathew Thomas, Angaveettil, Kanchiyar, P.O. Kerala.	-do-

1	2	3	4
26	85-Udumlanchola	M.C. Varghese, Bright house. Allappara P.O. Perumbavoor, Ernakulam District, Kerala.	Account not lodged at all.
27.	-do-	Sasi Narayanan, Block No. 959, Kallar Pattom Colony, Ramakalmedu P.O., Kerala.	-do-
28.	-do-	M.G. Thampi, Mullamkuzhiyil, Pallikunnu, Pothupara Peermode, Kerala.	-do-
29.	-do-	Joseph Kakkanadan, Kakkanad,Chennadu P.O., Poonjar, Kerala.	-do-
30.	-do-	B. Sukumaran, Aiswary Bhavan, Semini Valley, Estate, Elappara P.O., Kerala.	-do-
31.	-do-	P.K. Haneeba, Puthenpurackal, Vandiperiyar P.O., Kerala.	-do-
32.	114-Pathanapuram	Abdul Majeed, Rejimon Manzil, Chelakkodu, Pathanapuram, Kerala.	-do-
33	-do-	Biju George, Kalluvettamkuzhy, Kallumkadavu, Pathanapuram Kerala.	-do-
34.	116-Chadayamangalam	Abdul Rasheed Moula <i>v</i> , U.K. Badishamansi, ? Mynagappally, P.O., Pin. 690 519, Kerala.	-do-
35.	-do-	Lal Kumar P. Plankala Veedu. Kozhiyode, Thudayannor, P.O., Kadakkal (Via.), Kollam - 691536, Kerala.	-do-
36.	120-Kunnathoor (SC)	T.A. Velayudhan, Thalikunathu Veedu, Perinngala P.O., Ernakulam 68365, Kerala.	-do-

1	2	3	4
37.	122-Chavara	Subhash Chandra Bose, P. Pournami, Sakthikulangara, Kollam, Kerala.	Account lodged at all
38.	126-Chathannoor	Karimpaloor Pankajakshan Kolayil Veedu, Karimpaloor Poothakulam P.O., Paripally, Kerala.	-do-
39.	-do-	Madhusudanan Pillai Sumalayam, Polachira P. O. Pin-691 334, Kerala.	-do-
40.	-do-	Rajendran Master, Geethalayam, Edavattom, Chitakkara (P.O.) Kerala.	-do-
41.	-do-	Shel Kumar G. Puthen Veedu, Koonayil, Paravoor, Kerala.	-do-

[No. 76/KL-LA/97]

By Order,  
BABU RAM, SECY.

## ग्रादेश

तट्टी दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1997

आ.आ. 148.—निवाचिन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि लोक सभा के लिये 1996 में हुए निवाचिन के लिये जो नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (2) में विनिर्दिष्ट तमिलनाडू राज्य के संसदीय निवाचिन क्षेत्र से हुआ है स्तम्भ (3) में उसके सामने विनिर्दिष्ट निवाचिन लड़ने वाला प्रत्येक अध्यर्थी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्दीन बनाये गये नियमों द्वारा अनेकित उक्त सारणों के स्तम्भ (4) में तथा दर्शित अपने निवाचिन अधिकारों का ले जा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है।

और प्रत्येक अध्यर्थी को सम्पूर्ण सूचना दिये जाने पर भी उक्त असफलता के लिये उक्त अध्यर्थियों ने या तो कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है और निवाचिन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उनके पास उक्त असफलता के लिये कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

प्रतः अब; निवाचिन आयोग उक्त अधिनियम की द्वारा 10-क के अनुसरण में नीचे की सारणी के स्तम्भ (3) में विनिर्दिष्ट अधिकारियों को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य/संघ राज्य-के की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिये इस ग्रादेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिये निरहित घोषित करता, है :—

## सारणी

क्र.सं.	संसदीय निवाचिन क्षेत्र की क्र.सं. और नाम	निवाचिन लड़ने वाले अध्यर्थी का नाम और पता	निरहित का कारण
1	2	3	4
1.	30-तिरनेत्रव लौ	श्री/सव श्री/ सश्री चन्द्रमोहन ए 9/1 तवीपरम 8वीं स्ट्रीट, टटी कोरिन, तमिलनाडू	कोई भी लेखा दाखिल नहीं किया
2.	--वही--	तमिल से ल्वी टी. 1/44, वी. साउथ स्ट्रीट, बोटटापीडारम तमिलनाडू।	-वही-

[सं. 70/त.ना.-लो.स /97)

ग्रादेश से,  
बबू राम, सचिव

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1997

O.N. 148.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that each of the contesting candidates specified in column (3) of the Table below at the Election to the Lok Sabha, 1996 held from the Parliamentary Constituency in the State of Tamil Nadu specified in column (2) against his/her name has failed to lodge an account of his/her election expenses as mentioned in column (4) of the said Table, as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and the Rules made thereunder;

And, whereas, the said candidates have either not furnished any reason or explanation for the said failures even after due notice to each of them and the Election Commission is satisfied that they have no good reason or justification for the said failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the person specified in column (3) of the Table below to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being, a member of either House of the Parliament or the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of 3 years from the date of this order.

## TABLE

S. No.	No. & Name of Assembly Constituency	Name and address of the contesting candidate	Reason for disqualification
1	2	3	4
1.	36 Tirunelveli	S/Shri/Ms. Chandramohan A., 9/1, Toovipuram, VIII Street Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu.	Account not lodged at all.
2.	—do—	Tamil Selvi T., 1/44 B, South St., Ottapidaram, Tamil Nadu.	—do—

[No. 76/TN-HP/97]

By Order,  
BABU RAM, Secy.